

Questions from the PCC Standing Committee on Standards on coding of 246 by CONSER and BIBCO standards:

PCC Standards committee is reviewing the proposed BSRs for the various formats, and trying to create some consistency across the board when possible

Apparently CONSER's use of the 246 13 for ALL "other titles" is a bit contentious. CONSER went through a few variations for the use of the 246 field in the CSR before deciding on: 246 13(other title), and 246 1# (with \$i).

Jay Weitz pointed out that 246 1# implies the choice to not code another assigned value. This would allow catalogers to code all "other titles" as 246 1#, and include the \$i as needed for clarification. Also, we think that we'd have to push through MARBI a scope note change for the 246 13 to use it as the generic "other title" option for all the BSRs. This seems unnecessary given what Jay reminded us in terms of the existing coding

If the BSRs ultimately decide to use 246 1# rather than 246 13, does CONSER wish to consider proposing changing the CSR so that it would be consistent with the BSRs? Or is that necessary?