CONSER Operations Meeting May 1, 2008 Outcomes from the CONSER standard record discussion

*General*

1) Action: Update the introduction to the CSR MAP to clearly state that catalogers should not delete information from records if that information is not incorrect, and to emphasize and clarify the philosophy of the CSR.

2) Action: Create a Wiki, accessible from the CONSER Homepage, containing examples of optional use of elements, or working notes. The CSR MAP could contain links to the Wiki, for further examples. This, like all other CONSER documentation, should be maintained by Les and Hien.

*Uniform title*

3) Action: Add a statement in CSR MAP that any addition of a uniform title is acceptable depending on local needs and judgment. Consensus was not complete on this at the meeting so the CSR Monitoring Group should draft a statement and let members suggest further clarification and additional context.

4) Action: CSR Monitoring Group will add the monographic series example with a uniform title qualified by "Online":
ARN 2842390: Memorias de la Real Academia de Buenas Letras de Barcelona
ARN 7616342 : Memorias de la Real Academia de Buenas Letras de Barcelona (Online)

*Cataloging with copy*

5) Action: CSR Monitoring Group will compose and add to CSR MAP a statement reiterating the CSR philosophy as it applies to copy. Add to Appendix A of the CSR MAP.

6) Action: CSR Monitoring Group will add the following guidelines to the CSR MAP:
**If factual information on the record is correct, no editing of style or tagging is needed. Leave the information alone.

**If new information needs to be supplied, supply it according to CSR MAP.

**If in a particular situation it is more difficult to supply information according to CSR MAP and the cataloger can easily supply the information according to pre-CSR style, it is fine to do so.

7) Action: Add example to appendix A of the CSR MAP showing a record before and after maintenance in the CSR style.

8) Action: Add a reference to CEG B4.3: "In general an authenticated record should not be updated merely to reflect changes in cataloging codes or CONSER practice" etc.

9) Action: CSR Group will develop checklist for expected outcomes when working with copy and maintenance and share with CONSER. The topic came up with discussion of closing pre AACR2 records in CSR style, generally not favored by the group. Internal consistency also was raised in the context of mixing "styles" associated with various

practices of the past. The outcomes will aim to provide guidance on what we expect the maintenance or the closing out of records to convey to users of the record.

*DBO first issue versus using designation*
Decision: It was decided to use issue designation rather than “first issue” since “first issue” it interferes with OCLC Macros. The CSR MAP will be updated to reflect this.

*Always provide latest issue consulted note*
Decision: It was decided that in order to simplify training and decision making that the Latest issue consulted note would always be provided, even if the only one issue is in hand at the time of cataloging.

10) Action: Include example where DBO and the LIC are the same in *Appendix B, 362, 500, 515 Examples*

*780-785 indicator 9*
11) Action: Explore alternatives to defining second indicator 9 for780/785 to be used with $i since indicator 9 may not be the best approach from a MARBI standpoint.

Who: CSR MARBI Proposal Group.

*260*
12) Action: Refer repeatable 260 to the original group working on 260, that group includes OCLC representation and a timeline for implementation can be worked out by that group.

Who: Les will forward revised 260 guidelines to the original 260 as a starting place.
When: July 2008

*550/710 $3/$e*
Decision: Experiment with $3 and relator code $e, and write a proposal. It was suggested that reference librarians be involved in this process.

Who: CSR MARBI Proposal Group

13) Action: CSR Monitoring Group to suggest a charge, membership, and scope for this new group

*DBO/LIC/588*
14) Action: Submit a MARBI paper to suggest establishing the 588 fields for DBO and LIC (in separate 588 fields). The information will be recorded in subfield “a” without separate subfields defined.

Who: CSR MARBI Proposal Group

*362 subfielding proposal*
15) Action: Defer consideration of this to RDA/MARBI Group as they look at issues related to 362, 363, etc.