

## **PCC Task Group on URIs in MARC: update on recent activities**

### **PCC Operations Committee, May 2018**

The PCC Task Group on URIs in MARC produced a report for PoCo last October on its work to date. The report is available [here](#).

The present report briefly summarizes the group's activities since then.

1. The group has posted two documents:
  - a. Guidelines on Formulating and Obtaining URIs
  - b. FAQs
2. At the request of Paul Frank, it has recommended guidelines for including ISNIs in authority 024. These are expected to appear in DCM Z1 in the near future.
3. Work is under way on a set of specifications for MARC object reconciliation, designed to help metadata practitioners and applications developers to interpret MARC data correctly in RDF terms and to guide appropriate use of URIs in relation to MARC subfields.
4. The group is drafting two MARC discussion papers for Annual:
  - a. Defining \$0 and \$1 for 024
  - b. Defining \$2 for 1XX/7XX

It has also submitted a fast track proposal for 730 \$4.

5. The group is drafting a summary of best practices issues for consideration by a review group that is being formed by PoCo. Drafts are in progress for the following:
  - a. Use of the new 758 field
  - b. Repeating \$0/\$1 subfields
  - c. 33X fields
6. Nancy Lorimer gave a presentation on the group's activities at the Authority Control Interest Group meeting at ALA Midwinter.
7. The group has been in contact with the RSC chair Gordon Dunsire, the ELUNA/IGeLU Linked Open Data working group, the PCC Identity Management in NACO task group, and several of the respondents to the recent PCC URI survey.