

PCC Task Group on URIs in MARC  
Summary report to PoCo, November 2018

This a summary report in point form provided by the PCC Task Group on URIs in MARC upon completion of its term in October 2018. It will be followed by a fuller report at Midwinter. The group's charge, membership, and activities are documented at the web site below and in a Google Drive folder.

<https://www.loc.gov/aba/pcc/bibframe/TaskGroups/URI-TaskGroup.html>

#### Key outputs

- MARC proposals for RWO URIs, work IDs, relators
- Formulating URIs and FAQ documents
- General principles for using URIs in MARC (needs to be turned into a public document)

#### Things we learned

- How MARC does and doesn't work with linked data
- Modelling issues: RWOs vs authorities, LRM/RDA vs MARC
- Relationship to broader PCC goals
- Varying states of community readiness

#### Key contributions by partners

- OCLC
  - Output options in Record Manager
  - \$1 implementation
  - Work clustering investigation
- MARCEdit tooling by Terry Reese

#### Work in progress within PCC

- MARC proposals for 024 \$0/\$1, 1XX/7XX \$2
- MARC object reconciliation (will submit report to LD Best Practices group)
- Best practices for URI fields/subfields (Linked Data Best Practices Task Group)
- ISNI MARC pilot

#### Work in progress by others

- Casalini MARC enhancement
- Possible OCLC 758 implementation

#### Still needed

- Assign responsibility for policy/implementation
  - Mechanism for endorsing vocabularies
  - Ongoing consultation/liaison with stakeholders
    - Tool developers, e.g. Terry Reese

- Data providers, e.g. Casalini, OCLC
- Systems vendors, e.g. Ex Libris, FOLIO
- NDMSO
- LD4P
- Point of contact for public inquiries
- Updating documentation
  - Formulating URIs
  - FAQ
- Training, awareness
- Implementation in authority format
  - 024 discussion
  - Other authority fields