This month, PTCP received and reviewed 243 proposals for LCSH. We approved 199, or 81 percent. The complete statistical breakdown for the month can be found below.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

*Monthly list limits:*

As a reminder, PTCP is attempting to cap the monthly list at as close to 250 proposals as possible. This means that space is limited. If your proposal is requesting a relatively minor change *only*, such as updating a geographic code from “no decision” to “may subdivide geographically,” or requesting that we adjust capitalization in a “used for” reference, do not make a proposal. Instead, contact PTCP at policy@loc.gov. We may be able to make this change “off-list,” meaning that no proposal is necessary.

*Submitting projects:*

PTCP would like to better manage the number of LCSH/LCC projects that are included on monthly tentative lists, and individuals making proposals should assess whether they are creating a new project before submitting proposals. LCSH/LCC projects are defined as 20 or more proposals on a similar theme or an update proposal to a base heading that will result in changes to more than 10 headings or classification numbers.

Catalogers or SACO funnels who identify projects and would like them considered for inclusion on a tentative list should contact PTCP for assistance by emailing saco@loc.gov.

CLASSIFICATION

Changes were made as indicated on the approved list.

Other decisions:
DS119.769

The proposal was withdrawn by the proposing cataloger so changes could be made to the subject and classification proposals.

GR309.5.L35

The proposal should be resubmitted with a resubmitted subject proposal. See below under Lainong (Burmese people) for details.

HD8039.R54-.R542

The proposal should be resubmitted with a resubmitted subject proposal. See below under Rideshare drivers for details.

N3750.J27

The work being cataloged is about a museum specifically for jewelry and should be classed in NK7302.5.A-Z. The proposal is not necessary.

N8217.H76

The proposal seeks a classification for the Human body and technology as a topic of art. Instead of establishing a unique location for this topic, the meeting prefers to group it with the existing topic of the Human figures at N7570-7574.5. The proposal is not necessary.

PC396

The proposal seeks a classification for the topic of Judeo-Romance languages. Instead of establishing this number within the Romance languages hierarchy, the meeting prefers to class it with other Judeo languages in PJ5071-5192. A replacement proposal was made for the topic at PJ5096. The proposal is not approved.

PL5128.K46

The proposal should be resubmitted with a subject proposal for the associated topic, Kepulauan Riau. This topic is currently included as a BT on the subject heading Bintan Island (Indonesia) but is not an established heading. The cataloger should review SHM H 690 and H 807 when proposing the topic since Kepulauan Riau contains a generic term and will most likely take the form of “Riau Islands (Indonesia)” in LCSH.
Table PN1 14.S48

The proposal seeks a classification for Sex work as a topic in the table for journalism. Instead of establishing a unique location for this topic, the meeting prefers to group it with the existing topic of Prostitution at PN1 14.P73. The caption for that number will be updated to include Sex work. The proposal is not necessary.

PN56.C526

The proposal seeks a classification for Children of military personnel. Instead of establishing a unique location for this topic, the meeting prefers to group it with the existing topic of Children at PN56.C5. The proposal is not necessary.

PN1995.9.R22

The proposal seeks a classification for Race and racism as a topic of motion pictures. Instead of establishing a unique location for these topics together, the meeting prefers to group race with the existing topic of Minorities in motion pictures at PN1995.9.M56 and racism with the existing topic of Discrimination in motion pictures at PN1995.9.D555. The cataloger should use whichever of these numbers best suits the work being cataloged. The proposal is not approved.

PQ145.6.S345

The proposal seeks a classification for Sex crimes as a topic in French literature. Instead of establishing a unique location for this topic, the meeting prefers to group it with the existing topic of Crime at PQ145.1.C75. The proposal is not necessary.

PS169.A37

The proposal seeks a classification for Agriculture as a topic in history of American literature. Instead of establishing a unique location for this topic, the meeting prefers to group it with the existing topic of Farm life at PS169.F37. The proposal is not necessary.

The proposals sought to add classification numbers for systematic divisions at the order level within the botany hierarchy. The botany classification schedules are arranged at the family level and works concerning taxonomic orders are classed at the general works number.
within the schedules for taxonomic divisions. The meeting does not wish to begin a new pattern that would break from these practices. The proposals are not necessary.

QK524.C9; QK524.E6; QK524.S33

The proposals sought to modify the captions for the existing classification records by adding the common names for the associated botanical families. The meeting determined that this was unnecessary. The proposals are not necessary.

TD171.74

The work being cataloged is about climate change models and should be classed in GE149 where similar works have been classed. The proposal is not necessary.

TP837.5.A-Z; TP837.5.R6

The meeting determined that the associated subject proposal, Roof tilemaking, would be changed to Tilemaking. As a result, the proposals are not necessary.

SUBJECT HEADINGS

Changes were made as indicated on the approved list.

Other decisions:

Boards and spreads (Cooking)

The concept of “boards and spreads” is covered by the existing headings Food presentation and Buffets (Cooking). The proposal is not necessary.

Body neutrality; Body positivity

The meeting determined that the proposed terms are already covered by the existing headings Self-acceptance and Body image. The proposals are not necessary; however, UF references for Body neutrality and Body positivity will be added to the heading for Body image since the scope of the heading includes attitudes about one's or someone else's body image.

Given the decision on the base headings the following proposals were determined to be not necessary: Body neutrality in children; Body positivity in adolescence; and Body positivity in children.
Body shaming

The meeting determined that the proposed term is already covered by existing heading Physical-appearance-based bias, which has the scope note "Here are entered works on prejudicial attitudes and discriminatory behavior directed against those who are perceived to be physically unattractive." The proposal is not necessary; however, a UF reference for Body shaming will be added to the heading for Physical-appearance-based bias.

Given the decision on the base heading the following proposals were determined to be not necessary: Body shaming in adolescence and Body shaming in children.

Carbon sequestration

The proposal sought to add a scope note to the existing heading Carbon sequestration, which the meeting deemed not necessary. The proposal is not approved.

Castles--Models; Mosques--Models; Stūpas--Models; Toranas--Models

The free-floating subdivision –Models can be applied to select types of subject headings for works concerning physical or working models. Base headings that are classified outside these types of subject headings and combined with the subdivision –Models must be editorially established. In these situations, the work being cataloged must demonstrate that the LCSH definition of “models” is being represented. The meeting determined that the works being cataloged did not clearly meet the parameters of the LCSH definition of “models.” The proposals are not approved.

Chinese Embassy Chancery (Washington, D.C.)

SHM H 1334 states: “Generally, when a building is known by the same name as the corporate body that occupies it, a corporate name heading is established for the body and that name heading is used as a subject heading for works about either the organization or the building.” The already established name heading for the corporate body should be assigned to the work being cataloged. The proposal is not necessary.

Fat acceptance

The meeting determined that the proposed term is already covered by the existing headings Fat acceptance movement, Self-acceptance, and Body image. The proposal is not necessary.
Given the decision on the base heading the following proposals were determined to be not necessary: *Fat acceptance in children* and *Fat acceptance in adolescence*.

*Fat shaming*

The meeting determined that the proposed term is already covered by the existing heading **Discrimination against overweight persons**. The proposal is not necessary.

Given the decision on the base heading the following proposals were determined to be not necessary: *Fat shaming in children* and *Fat shaming in adolescence*.

*Fish camps; Fishing lodges*

The meeting determined that it is not necessary to create a separate heading for the concept of “Fish camps” since the existing heading **Fishing lodges** includes the UF **Fishing camps**. This pattern parallels the pattern for the heading **Hunting lodges**, which includes the UF **Hunting camps**. The proposals are not approved.

*Gaza War, 2023*

The subject proposal was withdrawn by the proposing cataloger so changes could be made to the proposal.

*Jayalalithaa Memorial (Chennai, India); MGR Memorial (Chennai, India); Memorials--India*

SHM H 200 sec. 9.a. states, “Cite the work for which the heading is being proposed as the first 670 field.” The proposal for *Jayalalithaa Memorial (Chennai, India)* listed a Wikipedia entry as the first 670 field which is not sufficient to warrant a new heading. The proposal may be resubmitted.

The proposals for *Jayalalithaa Memorial (Chennai, India)* and *MGR Memorial (Chennai, India)* include many UF references, making it difficult to determine if these are in fact two memorials or one memorial dedicated to two people. Proposals may be resubmitted for one memorial heading or two separate headings if it is made clear in the citated sources that two separate headings are warranted.

*Lainong (Burmese people)*

The proposal lacks English in the citation for the work being cataloged, which is a requirement in SHM H 202 sec. 1.a.(6). Also, in accordance with the [interim guidelines](#) for Indigenous headings, the meeting requests further research to confirm that Lainong is the
preferred autonym of the ethnic group. The proposal may be resubmitted.

*New York Botanical Garden Library Building*

SHM H 1334 states: “Generally, when a building is known by the same name as the corporate body that occupies it, a corporate name heading is established for the body and that name heading is used as a subject heading for works about either the organization or the building.” The already established name heading for the corporate body, *LuEsther T Mertz Library*, should be assigned to the work being cataloged. The proposal is not necessary.

*Organic farming*

The proposal sought to add a scope note to the existing heading *Organic farming* and change the BT from *Agriculture* to *Sustainable agriculture*. The meeting determined that the changes are not necessary. The proposal is not approved.

*Rideshare drivers*

The proposal lacks English in the citation for the work being cataloged, which is a requirement in SHM H 202 sec. 1.a.(6). The proposal also lacks any sources justifying the choice of the preferred term. The meeting suggests that the cataloger review SHM H 202, which states that “Thorough authority research demonstrating that the term selected for the proposed heading is the appropriate one must be done for all concepts that are new to the LC subject heading system.” The proposal may be resubmitted.

*Sexual minority men*

The proposal sought to add the UF *Queer men*. The meeting determined that instead of adding this kind of UF on this and similar parallel headings, the base heading *Sexual minorities* will be updated to include the UF *Queer people*. The proposal is not necessary.

*Six-woman basketball*

The proposal lacks sources justifying the choice of the preferred term. The proposal does include a pre-1986 heading as the LC pattern, which is not in itself a problem, but such headings reflect a different era in LCSH proposal practices when justification for headings was minimal. The meeting suggests that the cataloger review SHM H 202, which states that “Thorough authority research demonstrating that the term selected for the proposed heading is the appropriate one must be done for all concepts that are new to the LC subject heading system.” The proposal may be resubmitted.
Sustainable agriculture

The proposal sought to add a scope note to the existing heading Sustainable agriculture. The meeting determined that the change is not necessary. The proposal is not approved.

Uma-Maheshvara

The proposal seeks to create a heading for the depiction of the avatars of two deities in art, which would be a new practice in LCSH that the meeting does not want to begin. The meeting recommends that the cataloger post-coordinate the name headings for deities and the subject heading Avatars (Religion) in art. The proposal is not approved.

Urban morphology

It is not clear how the proposed term relates to and is different from the existing headings Built environment, Urban ecology (Sociology), and City planning. The meeting suggests that the proposer clarify the relationships between these terms via the cited sources, giving particular attention to how the proposed term is different from the other terms. The proposal may be resubmitted.

Vitanas (Ceilings)

It is not evident from the cited sources that the proposed term constitutes a structurally unique form of ceiling. The proposal may be resubmitted.

White flight

LCSH does not currently have an established pattern that combines the topic of migration with the social reasoning for that migration. The meeting was concerned that introducing such a pattern, particularly in this case, would contradict the practice in LCSH of preferring neutral, unbiased terminology as stated in SHM H 204 sec. 2. The proposal is not approved.
MEDIUM OF PERFORMANCE TERMS

Changes were made as indicated on the approved list.

Other decisions:

**harmonica**

The proposal sought to add numerous UF references to the existing heading *harmonica*. Many of these UFs are from Wiktionary, which is a crowdsourced reference and not generally permitted according to instruction sheet M 638. Also, cursory research on these proposed UF terms returned minimal results for direct correlation in meaning with harmonicas, particularly in the modern context. For instance, the term "French harp" is more associated with stringed harps designed or manufactured in France; and while this term may have been used in the 19th century, it was not shown in the references to be found in current American usage as is required by instruction sheet LCMPT M 620 sec. 2. However, if the cataloger is able to show that any of the proposed variants are needed for a work being cataloged and represent unique instruments independent of the harmonica, they are welcome to propose them as new terms. The proposal is not necessary.

**trombone**

The proposal sought to add a scope note to the existing heading trombone, which the meeting deemed unnecessary. The proposal is not necessary.

**STATISTICS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LCSH</th>
<th>LCMPT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved*</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authority records cancelled*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not necessary</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not approved</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resubmit</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The number of proposals approved is approximate and may change slightly during the final processing of the Approved List.

*The number of authority records cancelled is also included in the total number of proposals approved.