



LIBRARY OF  
CONGRESS

---

## FEDERAL GOVERNMENT STRATEGIC SOURCING OF INFORMATION PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

*A Report Prepared by the Federal Research Division,  
Library of Congress  
Under an Agreement with the  
Federal Library and Information Network (FEDLINK),  
Library of Congress*

*Revised November 2013*

*Researcher: Wm. Noël Ivey*

*Project Manager: Alice R. Buchalter*

**Federal Research Division  
Library of Congress  
Washington, D.C. 20540-4840  
Tel: 202-707-3900  
Fax: 202-707-3920  
E-Mail: [frds@loc.gov](mailto:frds@loc.gov)  
Homepage: <http://www.loc.gov/rr/frd/>**

★ 65 Years of Service to the Federal Government ★  
1948 – 2013

## **PREFACE**

This report describes the current landscape of the federal marketplace regarding the acquisition of information goods and services, including electronic databases, books, and serials. It compiles comprehensive data from fiscal year (FY) 1979 through the third quarter of FY 2013 on the amount federal agencies have spent on these products and services, as well as identifying major vendors. In addition, the report forecasts through FY 2015 the potential savings to the federal government if agencies purchase these products and services through a strategic-sourcing initiative. The report presents this data in the form of tables, graphs, and charts, accompanied by narrative explanation and analysis.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |    |
|--|----|
| PREFACE .....  | i  |
| KEY FINDINGS.....  | 1  |
| INTRODUCTION .....   | 3  |
| METHODOLOGY .....  | 3  |
| OVERVIEW OF THE FEDERAL STRATEGIC-SOURCING INITIATIVE .....                              | 5  |
| DEFINING THE FEDERAL INFORMATION MARKET .....  | 6  |
| INFORMATION MARKET SPENDING BY FEDERAL AGENCIES.....                                     | 17 |
| CONTRACTORS IN THE FEDERAL INFORMATION MARKET .....                                      | 22 |
| BENEFITS OF A STRATEGICALLY SOURCED INFORMATION MARKET .....                             | 26 |
| APPENDIX 1. Estimated Spending and Savings Projections .....                             | 33 |
| APPENDIX 2. Product Service Code Definitions .....                                       | 34 |
| APPENDIX 3. Major Vendors for Major Information Commodities,<br>FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3 ..... | 36 |
| APPENDIX 4. Regression Diagnostics and Statistics .....                                  | 48 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY .....   | 52 |

### TABLES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Table 1. Federal Information Market, Products and Services, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3 .....  | 8  |
| Table 2. Federal Departments and Independent Agencies’ Spending on Information<br>Products and Services, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3 ..... | 17 |
| Table 3. Top Ten Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3 .....  | 23 |
| Table 4. Top Ten Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3 .....  | 25 |
| Table 5. FY 2012 Spending by Agency Under Different Savings Scenarios .....   | 27 |
| Table 6. Spending Projections, FY 2013–FY 2015 .....  | 29 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Table 7. Projected Growth and Savings in the Federal Information Market,<br>FY 2013–FY 2015 .....                   | 33 |
| Table 8. Total Spending Projections Based on Strategic-Sourcing Spending,<br>FY 2013–FY 2015 .....                  | 33 |
| Table 9. Formal Definitions of Product Service Codes .....  | 34 |
| Table 10. Federal Information Market, Products and Services, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3 .....                               | 36 |
| Table 11. Top 50 Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3.....                             | 37 |
| Table 12. Top 50 Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3.....                             | 40 |
| Table 13. Top Contractors for Books and Pamphlets (PSC 7610), FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3 .....                              | 43 |
| Table 14. Top Contractors for Web-Based Subscriptions (PSC D317),<br>FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3 .....                       | 44 |
| Table 15. Top Contractors for Newspapers and Periodicals (PSC 7630),<br>FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3 .....                    | 45 |
| Table 16. Top Contractors for Administrative Support: Information Retrieval (PSC R612),<br>FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3 ..... | 46 |
| Table 17. Top Contractors for Administrative Support: Library (PSC R605),<br>FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3 .....               | 46 |
| Table 18. Data Used in the Regression Analysis.....   | 48 |
| Table 19. Regression Analysis Statistics .....  | 49 |

## FIGURES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Figure 1. Federal Spending on Information Products and Services, FY 1979–FY 2012 .....                     | 9  |
| Figure 2. Growth of Federal Spending on Information Products and Services,<br>FY 1980–FY 2010 .....        | 10 |
| Figure 3. Federal Spending on Information Products and Services by Quarter,<br>FY 1979 Q1–FY 2013 Q3 ..... | 11 |
| Figure 4. Value of the Federal Information Market by Quarter, FY 2009 Q1–FY 2013 Q3 .....                  | 11 |
| Figure 5. Federal Information Market, Average Quarterly Spending, FY 1980–FY 2012 .....                    | 12 |
| Figure 6. Federal Information Market, Products and Services, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3.....                       | 13 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Figure 7. Federal Spending on Information Products and Services, FY 1979–FY 2012 .....                                       | 15 |
| Figure 8. Federal Spending on Information Products and Services,<br>FY 2009 Q1–FY 2013 Q3 .....                              | 15 |
| Figure 9. Federal Spending on Information Products and Services, by Decade.....  | 16 |
| Figure 10. Federal Information Market, Products and Services, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3.....  | 17 |
| Figure 11. Federal Information Market Spending by Agency as a Proportion of Total<br>Spending, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3.....       | 19 |
| Figure 12. Federal Information Market Spending by Agency as a Proportion of Total<br>Spending, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3.....       | 19 |
| Figure 13. Federal Information Market, Top Federal Agency Spending Trends,<br>FY 1979–FY 2012.....                           | 20 |
| Figure 14. Federal Information Market, Top-Spending Federal Agencies,<br>FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3.....                             | 21 |
| Figure 15. Federal Information Market, Top-Spending Federal Agencies,<br>FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3.....                             | 22 |
| Figure 16. Agency Spending with Different Savings Scenarios,<br>FY 2009 Q1–FY 2013 Q3.....                                   | 27 |
| Figure 17. Federal Spending Using Different Savings Scenarios,<br>FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3.....                                    | 28 |
| Figure 18. Federal Spending on Information Products and Services under Different<br>Savings Scenarios, FY 1979–FY 2012 ..... | 29 |
| Figure 19. Projected Growth and Potential Savings in the Federal Information Market,<br>FY 2013–FY 2015.....                 | 30 |
| Figure 20. Total Spending Projections Based on Various Strategic-Sourcing Scenarios,<br>FY 2013–FY 2015.....                 | 32 |
| Figure 21. Scatterplot of Annual Spending Figures, FY 1979–FY 2012 .....   | 49 |
| Figure 22. Scatterplot of Cubic Regression Residuals versus X-Values .....   | 50 |
| Figure 23. QQ-Plot of Cubic Regression Residuals .....   | 50 |
| Figure 24. Histogram of Cubic Regression Residuals .....   | 51 |

## KEY FINDINGS

- Federal spending on the 15 product service codes (PSCs) that can be reasonably considered to constitute the federal information market totaled \$9.0 billion from fiscal year (FY) 1979 through FY 2012, an average of \$263 million annually.
- Federal agencies spent an additional \$349 million on those 15 PSCs in the first three quarters of FY 2013, bringing total spending on information commodities to \$9.3 billion from the first quarter (Q1) of FY 1979 through FY2013 Q3.
- In the most recent complete fiscal year—FY 2012—federal spending on information commodities was \$592 million, the third highest annual total in the 34-year period from FY 1979 through FY 2012.
- In the last five complete fiscal years—FY 2008 through FY 2012—total federal spending on information products and services was \$2.8 billion, constituting 31 percent of all spending on these commodities from FY 1979 through FY 2012.
- Average annual spending in the last five complete fiscal years, FY 2008 through FY 2012, was \$559 million, far exceeding average annual spending for the longer period from FY 1979 through FY 2012.
- Average quarterly spending in the most recent five fiscal years—FY 2009 through FY 2013—was \$135 million, slightly more than double the quarterly average of \$66 million for the overall 35-year period from FY 1979 through FY 2013.
- From FY 1979 Q1 through FY 2013 Q3, six of the 15 information products and services accounted for 94 percent of federal spending on information commodities: books and pamphlets (21 percent of total spending), Web-based subscriptions (21 percent), administrative support for federal libraries (17 percent), administrative support for information retrieval (13 percent), newspapers and periodicals (12 percent), and maps, atlases, charts, and globes (11 percent). Because of rounding error, these percentages do not total 94 percent.
- In the most recent five-year period from FY 2009 to FY 2013 Q3, federal agencies have sharply reduced spending on maps, atlases, charts, and globes. Consequently, the five remaining products and services listed above composed 96 percent of the federal information market.
- In the time span from FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3, five agencies accounted for 67 percent of total federal spending on information commodities: Department of Defense (41 percent of total spending), Department of Health and Human Services (10 percent), Department of Commerce (5 percent), Department of Justice (5 percent), and Department of the Treasury (5 percent). Because of rounding error, the individual percentages do not total 67 percent.
- In the more recent period from FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3, seven federal agencies accounted for the majority of federal spending on information products and services:

Department of Defense (24 percent of total federal spending), Department of Health and Human Services (13 percent), Department of Commerce (9 percent), Department of Justice (7 percent), Department of Veterans Affairs (6 percent), Department of the Treasury (6 percent), and Department of Homeland Security (5 percent). Collectively, these agencies' expenditure for information commodities constituted 72 percent of the total federal market for those products and services. Because of rounding error, the individual percentages do not total 72 percent.

- Within the last five fiscal years—from FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3—22 vendors received 50 percent of all federal spending on information products and services, and five of those vendors received slightly more than 25 percent of all such spending: Reed Elsevier (\$222.6 million; 9 percent of all federal spending on information products and services); West Publishing Corporation (\$182.4 million; 7 percent); Arctic Slope Regional Corporation (\$112.7 million; 4 percent); Ebsco (\$93.6 million; 4 percent); and Swets & Zeitlinger (\$78.8 million; 3 percent).
- Federal spending on information commodities is forecast to be \$597 million in FY 2013 and to decline slightly to \$591 million by FY 2015. Moreover, there is a 95 percent probability that spending for FY 2013 will be between \$436 million and \$757 million, and the same probability that FY 2015 spending will be between \$429 million and \$753 million.
- If federal agencies purchased information products and services through a strategic sourcing process—such as the existing Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative—the federal government could save between \$20 million and \$360 million on those commodities from FY 2013 through FY 2015, depending on the amount of federal spending channeled through strategic-sourcing procurement and the discount rates applied to that spending.

## **INTRODUCTION**

This report analyzes the U.S. federal government’s spending on information products and services from FY 1979 through the third quarter (Q3) of FY 2013, as well as estimates of cost savings the federal government could realize from FY 2013 through FY 2015 if it were to procure information goods and services through a strategic-sourcing process. Among the topics analyzed are the products and services that compose the information market, the federal agencies that have been major purchasers of those products and services, and the contractors that have provided them. Throughout this report, data tables and graphs detail and illustrate the findings. Among the data and graphs contained in this paper are the dataset, diagnostic graphs, and various statistics used to create and assess forecasts of federal spending on information commodities, all of which are in the appendix.

Briefly summarized, the research finds that, from FY 1979 through FY 2012 (all the complete fiscal years during the period covered in this study), federal government agencies spent an estimated \$9.0 billion—\$263 million annually—on print publications, electronic databases, information retrieval, and other commodities, a set of products and services collectively described as the “information market.” In addition, federal agencies spent \$349 million on these commodities in the first three quarters of FY 2013, bringing total federal spending on information products to \$9.3 billion from FY 1979 Q1 through FY 2013 Q3. If federal agencies were to procure information products and services through the federal government’s existing strategic-sourcing program, the Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative (FSSI), the federal government could realize savings in the range of approximately \$20 million to \$360 million for the three years from FY 2013 through FY 2015, depending on the amount the government spends on procurement through strategic-sourcing methods. In addition to these direct savings on purchases, the government could realize indirect savings on labor and other costs associated with procurement.

## **METHODOLOGY**

The researcher gathered data for this report from Federal Procurement Data System-Next Generation (FPDS-NG), an online database that the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) Federal Procurement Data Center operates to disclose information on federal procurement

contracts to the public. Information derived from database records includes the name of the funding agency, the award amount, and the award recipient. The FPDS-NG, operational since October 2003, replaced the previous system, the Federal Procurement Data System, which the federal government had placed in operation in 1978. The U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) maintains a similar Web site called USAspending.gov, which also provides data on procurement contracts and on grants and loans. While some previous iterations of this report used data from USAspending.gov, the current report is based on data from the FPDS-NG. The FPDS-NG provides data covering a longer period (FY 1979 to present) than does USAspending.gov (FY 2000 and later), and, therefore, allows for better analyses of trends in federal spending.<sup>1</sup>

The first step in the research process was to determine which categories of goods and services might reasonably be considered to constitute the “information market.” To make this determination, the researcher studied the product service codes (PSCs) that federal procurement contracts use to classify contracted products and services and identified 15 PSCs that designate information commodities (listed in Table 1, below). The researcher then used the FDPS-NG Web site’s “ezSearch” to acquire data on the 15 PSCs for the period from FY 1979 Q1 through FY 2013 Q3—October 1, 1978, through June 30, 2013.<sup>2</sup> Focusing on the federal agencies that awarded contracts for these 15 PSCs, and on the contractors awarded those contracts, the researcher downloaded nearly 180,000 records in comma-separated-value (CSV) format and converted the files to Microsoft Excel format for analysis. The data are accurate as of the date of download, July 5, 2013. Future iterations of this report will incorporate spending data after FY 2013 Q3.

The data used in this analysis have some potential limitations. Various observers, including federal government agencies, have raised concerns about the accuracy and

---

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO), “Improvements Needed to the Federal Procurement Data System-Next Generation” (report no. GAO-05-960R, Washington, DC, September 27, 2005), <http://www.gao.gov/assets/100/93613.pdf> (accessed August 6, 2012); USAspending.gov, “Learn About USAspending.gov,” <http://usaspending.gov/learn?tab=FAQ> (accessed April 15, 2012).

<sup>2</sup> To locate the relevant data in the FPDS-NG, the researcher used the “Adhoc Reports” feature of FPDS-NG’s ezSearch. The first iteration of this study used 16 PSCs in its analysis, but the second iteration incorporated only 15 PSCs. One of the PSCs in the first study of the federal information market—miscellaneous printed matter (PSC 7690)—was dropped from the second analysis because it included substantial spending on products and services that the researcher later determined were not information products and services. See William Noël Ivey, “Federal Government Strategic Sourcing of Information Products and Services” (report, Federal Research Division, Library of Congress, Washington, DC, December 2011), 4, [http://www.loc.gov/flicc/publications/FRD/Strategic-Sourcing-Version-2\\_2011-Dec-5-Corrected.pdf](http://www.loc.gov/flicc/publications/FRD/Strategic-Sourcing-Version-2_2011-Dec-5-Corrected.pdf) (accessed April 15, 2012).

completeness of data in federal procurement databases such as the FPDS and USAspending.gov. Over time, GSA, OMB, and other federal agencies have made an effort to address those concerns, leading to more accurate and complete data, particularly for FY 2004 and later. One such effort was the creation of the FPDS-NG system as a successor to FPDS.<sup>3</sup> Given potential limitations of the federal procurement data available at the time the research for this analysis was completed (June 2013), the researcher presents these findings with the following caveats: the data relied on for this report may contain inaccuracies, and the comparability of data across years is limited to an unknown extent.

## OVERVIEW OF THE FEDERAL STRATEGIC-SOURCING INITIATIVE

In May 2005, OMB and the Office of Federal Procurement Policy issued a memorandum requiring federal agencies to identify commodities that the government could efficiently purchase through strategic sourcing. The document defined strategic sourcing as “the collaborative and structured process of critically analyzing an organization's spending and using this information to make business decisions about acquiring commodities and services more effectively and efficiently.”<sup>4</sup> Soon after, in November 2005, GSA and the Department of the Treasury launched the Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative (FSSI). As of September 2013, GSA has established individual FSSIs for domestic delivery services, office supplies, print management, telecommunications-expense management services, and wireless services. GSA plans two additional FSSIs, for computer software (“SmartBUY”), and for the subject of this analysis, information resources.<sup>5</sup> According to GSA, federal government agencies using the

---

<sup>3</sup> Michael Hardy, “Fixing the Next Generation Procurement Data System,” *Federal Computer Week* 19, no. 40 (November 21, 2005): 65–66, <http://www.proquest.com/en-US/> (DOI 218835006; accessed April 15, 2012 via ProQuest); U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO), “Improvements Needed to the Federal Procurement Data System-Next Generation.”

<sup>4</sup> U.S. Office of Management and Budget, “Implementing Strategic Sourcing” (memorandum, Washington, DC, May 20, 2005), <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/ac/comp/proc/OMBmemo.pdf> (accessed July 15, 2011). A very similar definition of strategic sourcing was included in legislation introduced in both the House of Representatives and the Senate on July 16, 2013. The House and Senate bills—both formally called the Buy Smarter and Save Act of 2013—defined strategic sourcing as “a structured and collaborative process of critically analyzing an organization's spending patterns to better leverage its purchasing power, reduce costs, and improve overall value and performance.” See Buy Smarter and Save Act of 2013, H.R. 2649 and S. 1304, 113<sup>th</sup> Cong., (2013), <http://beta.congress.gov/bill/113th/house-bill/2694/text> and <http://beta.congress.gov/bill/113th/senate-bill/1304/text> (accessed September 17, 2013).

<sup>5</sup> U.S. General Services Administration, “About Strategic Sourcing,” <https://strategicsourcing.gov/about-fssi-0> (accessed September 17, 2013); U.S. General Services Administration, “Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative (FSSI),” <http://www.gsa.gov/portal/content/105156> (accessed September 17, 2013).

existing FSSIs collectively saved \$41.2 million on domestic delivery services and \$35.1 million on office supplies through July 2013. In addition, federal agencies saved \$0.6 million on print management and \$6.0 million on wireless services in the first three quarters of FY 2013. Stated as percentages, the joint savings of these federal agencies amounted to 27.9 percent on domestic delivery services, 16 percent on office supplies, 15 percent on print management, and 21 percent on telecommunications expense-management services.<sup>6</sup> Stated differently, federal agencies that have used existing FSSIs to procure products and services have realized savings ranging from 15 to 28 percent on those commodities. Studies of strategic sourcing by private-sector entities and by public-sector agencies outside of the United States have found similar rates of savings, ranging from 8 percent to 20 percent of procurement costs.<sup>7</sup>

A further development regarding federal strategic sourcing occurred in July 2013, with the introduction of legislation in the House and Senate that would promote federal agencies' use of strategic sourcing in their procurement activities. The proposed legislation—the Buy Smarter and Save Act of 2013—would require the comptroller general, OMB, the president of the United States, and certain federal agencies to conduct specified actions to support implementation of strategic sourcing as a procurement method. As of October 2013, both House and Senate had referred their versions of the bill to committee, with no further action taken.<sup>8</sup>

## **DEFINING THE FEDERAL INFORMATION MARKET**

The U.S. federal government does not formally define the term “information market” in its publications. Moreover, researchers in academic and industrial sectors who report on publishing and other aspects of the information industry do not cite a common definition of the term. To define the term “information market,” operationally for the purpose of this study, the researcher constructed a taxonomy of products and services, based on the PSC classification

---

<sup>6</sup> U.S. General Services Administration, “Strategic Sourcing Metrics,” <https://strategicsourcing.gov/> (accessed September 17, 2013).

<sup>7</sup> Cathy Hayward, “Reforming the Old Bill,” *Supply Management*, January 4, 2011, 21–23, [http://www.proquest.com/en-US/\( DOI 222195677; accessed April 15, 2012 via ProQuest\); Carlos Niezen, Wulf Weller, and Heidi Deringer, “Strategic Supply Management,” \*MIT Sloan Management Review\* 48, no. 2 \(Winter 2007\): 7, \[http://www.proquest.com/en-US/\\( DOI 2224964805; accessed April 15, 2012 via ProQuest\\).\]\(http://www.proquest.com/en-US/\(DOI%202224964805\);%20accessed%20April%2015,%202012%20via%20ProQuest\).\)](http://www.proquest.com/en-US/(DOI%2022195677);%20accessed%20April%2015,%202012%20via%20ProQuest);%20Carlos%20Niezen,%20Wulf%20Weller,%20and%20Heidi%20Deringer,%20%20Strategic%20Supply%20Management,%20MIT%20Sloan%20Management%20Review%2048,%20no.%202%20(Winter%202007):%207,%20http://www.proquest.com/en-US/(DOI%202224964805);%20accessed%20April%2015,%202012%20via%20ProQuest).)

<sup>8</sup> Buy Smarter and Save Act of 2013, H.R. 2649 and S. 1304, 113<sup>th</sup> Cong., (2013), <http://beta.congress.gov/bill/113th/house-bill/2694> and <http://beta.congress.gov/bill/113th/senate-bill/1304> (accessed September 17, 2013).

system that federal agencies use to specify goods and services purchased under government procurement contracts.<sup>9</sup>

Federal government agencies also use another classification system for procurement, the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), which outlines categories of industries and commercial activities that provide products and services. However, this report relies on PSC data, rather than NAICS categories, because NAICS classifications specify the industries that produce and distribute goods and services, whereas PSC designations identify the procured products and services.<sup>10</sup> Moreover, federal contract data on PSC classifications are more readily available than are contract data organized by NAICS categories, since the data records for procurement contracts, available through the FPDS-NG and USAspending.gov, almost invariably list PSC class but often do not include NAICS category.

According to the PSC classifications, 15 categories of products and services are identifiable components of the federal government's information market. These products and services, listed in Table 1, below, include a diverse array of commodities, including books, electronic databases, and library services. For formal definitions of these PSCs, see Table 9 in Appendix 2.

One finding that emerges from the data shown in Table 1 is that federal government agencies spent around \$9 billion on information products and services in the 34 years from FY 1979 through FY 2012. In addition, federal agencies spent nearly 31 percent of those funds (\$2.8 billion) during the last five years of that period, from FY 2008 through FY 2012. Average spending was nearly \$260 million annually from FY 1979 through FY 2012, and even higher in the last five years of that period—FY 2008 through FY 2012—at nearly \$560 million annually. These figures indicate increasing federal government spending on information products and services. The data also reveal that, in the first three quarters of FY 2013, federal agencies spent an additional \$349 million on information commodities, bringing total federal spending on these products and services to \$9.3 billion from FY 1979 Q1 through FY 2013 Q3.

However, these spending figures may undercount the actual value of the information market, because they exclude the value of contracts with PSCs designating categories unrelated

---

<sup>9</sup> U.S. General Services Administration, "Frequently Asked Questions About FPDS-NG," [http://www.acquisition.gov/faqs\\_whataboutfpds.asp](http://www.acquisition.gov/faqs_whataboutfpds.asp) (accessed July 28, 2011).

<sup>10</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, *2007 NAICS Definitions* (Washington, DC, 2007), page 376 of downloadable pdf, <http://www.census.gov/cgi-bin/sssd/naics/naicsrch?chart=2007> (accessed July 19, 2011).

to that market. Some contracts with apparently unrelated PSCs may nonetheless involve procurement of information products and services. For example, the \$9.3 billion in federal spending on information products and services from FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3 does not include several federal contracts with Reed Elsevier and West Publishing for the LexisNexis and Westlaw electronic databases, because these contracts listed PSCs such as 7030 “ADP [automatic data processing] software,” R499 “Other professional services,” and T003 “Cataloging services.”


**Table 1. Federal Information Market, Products and Services, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**

| Products and Services<br>(Product Service Code)                     | Contracts<br>(in \$ millions)<br>FY 1979–<br>FY 2013 Q3 | Percentage<br>of Total<br>Contracts | Contracts<br>(in \$ millions)<br>FY 2009–<br>FY 2013 Q3 | Percentage<br>of Total<br>Contracts |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Books and pamphlets (7610)  | \$1,986.7   | 21.4%                               | \$630.5   | 24.6%                               |
| Web-based subscriptions (D317)                                      | \$1,918.4   | 20.6%                               | \$492.9   | 19.2%                               |
| Administrative support, library (R605)                              | \$1,537.1   | 16.5%                               | \$466.8   | 18.2%                               |
| Administrative support, information retrieval (R612)                | \$1,159.6   | 12.5%                               | \$488.4   | 19.1%                               |
| Newspapers and periodicals (7630)                                   | \$1,091.2   | 11.7%                               | \$380.9   | 14.9%                               |
| Maps, atlases, charts, and globes (7640)                            | \$1,063.6   | 11.4%                               | \$8.6   | 0.3%                                |
| Microfilm, processed (7670)   | \$196.9   | 2.1%                                | \$3.0   | 0.1%                                |
| Drawings and specifications (7650)                                  | \$186.0   | 2.0%                                | \$27.8  | 1.1%                                |
| Digital maps, charts, and geodetic products (7644)                  | \$87.9  | 0.9%                                | \$27.7  | 1.1%                                |
| Technical representative–books, maps, and other publications (L076) | \$49.9  | 0.5%                                | \$24.3  | 0.9%                                |
| Aeronautic maps, charts, and geodetic products (7641)               | \$9.6   | 0.1%                                | \$6.4   | 0.2%                                |
| Sheet and book music (7660)   | \$7.0   | 0.1%                                | \$0.7   | 0.0%                                |
| Topographic maps, charts, and geodetic products (7643)              | \$3.6   | 0.0%                                | \$2.0   | 0.1%                                |
| Hydrographic maps, charts, and geodetic products (7642)             | \$2.3   | 0.0%                                | \$1.4   | 0.1%                                |

**Table 1. Federal Information Market, Products and Services, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**


| Products and Services<br>(Product Service Code) | Contracts<br>(in \$ millions)<br>FY 1979–<br>FY 2013 Q3 | Percentage<br>of Total<br>Contracts | Contracts<br>(in \$ millions)<br>FY 2009–<br>FY 2013 Q3 | Percentage<br>of Total<br>Contracts |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Books, maps, other publications (76)            | \$0.0   | 0.0%                                | \$0.0   | 0.0%                                |
| <b>Total</b>                                    | \$9,299.6   | 100.0%                              | \$2,561.2   | 100.0%                              |

Another finding is that federal government spending on information products and services has fluctuated but has shown an overall increase over time. During FY 1979 through FY 2012—the period for which the FPDS-NG provides spending data on information products and services for complete fiscal years—spending on information commodities increased from \$76 million in FY 1979 to \$276 million in FY 2000, to \$530 million in FY 2010, and to \$592 million by FY 2012. However, the spending figures for FY 2012 and other recent fiscal years may change as federal agencies continue to input and update spending data for those years into FPDS-NG. Figure 1, below, depicts federal spending on information products and services from FY 1979 through FY 2012, with specific figures listed for FY 1980 and for ten-year intervals thereafter (FY 1990, FY 2000, and FY 2010).




**Figure 1. Federal Spending on Information Products and Services, FY 1979–FY 2012**

Expressing federal spending on information products and services for each year as ratios, rather than as dollar figures, clarifies that spending on information products and services was largely unchanged between FY 1980 and FY 1990, but nearly five times greater in FY 2000 than in FY 1980. The spheres in Figure 2, below, which represent these spending ratios, demonstrate the growth in federal spending on information commodities since FY 1980 (the base year of comparison in the graph). As federal procurement of information commodities continued to expand, spending ratios increased as well. Spending in FY 2000 was nearly four times greater than in FY 1980, and spending in FY 2010 was almost nine times greater than in FY 1980.




**Figure 2. Growth of Federal Spending on Information Products and Services, FY 1980–FY 2010**

While federal spending on information commodities generally increased from FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3, spending also fluctuated substantially within that period. Federal spending on information commodities occasionally increased and declined by \$50 million or more from one quarter to the next. Figure 3, below, depicts these variations, showing federal spending on information products and services for all completed fiscal quarters from FY 1979 Q1 through FY 2013 Q3. Figure 3 illustrates that spending on information commodities has trended upward but has proven quite variable from quarter to quarter, and that average spending by quarter was \$67 million for the period.




**Figure 3. Federal Spending on Information Products and Services by Quarter, FY 1979 Q1–FY 2013 Q3**

Although spending varied substantially within the most recent five years, FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3, it remained at a higher level than during the nearly 35 years lasting from FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3. In the five years from FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3, spending generally ranged from \$100 million to \$200 million per quarter, and it sometimes varied by as much as \$100 million between quarters. In addition, average quarterly spending was \$135 million, more than double the quarterly average of \$66 million for the longer period from FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3. (See Figure 4, below.)



**Figure 4. Value of the Federal Information Market by Quarter, FY 2009 Q1–FY 2013 Q3**

The comparison of average spending for the four quarters of each fiscal year also reveals substantial variations in spending within each fiscal year. These variations appear in all fiscal quarters of the 1980s through the 2010s (the first complete decade of this study through the current decade) shows.<sup>11</sup> In the 33 years between FY 1980 and FY 2012, the federal government's average spending on information products and services was highest in the fourth quarter (\$89 million) and lowest in the third (\$51 million). Average quarterly spending for the first and second quarters fell between those two extremes, at \$67 million in the first quarter and \$61 million in the second. (See Figure 5, below).




**Figure 5. Federal Information Market, Average Quarterly Spending, FY 1980–FY 2012**

However, when quarterly spending from FY 1980 through FY 2012 is disaggregated by decade, the aforementioned pattern in quarterly spending does not hold. During the first complete decade of the study (FY 1980 through FY 1989), average first-quarter spending on information products (\$17 million) was nearly the same as average fourth-quarter spending (\$20 million). This near parity in quarterly spending was also evident in the following decade (FY

<sup>11</sup> FPDS-NG provides spending data for only a single year in the 1970s—FY 1979. Quarterly spending on information products for FY 1979 was \$13.5 million in Q1, \$39.1 million in Q2, \$8.7 million in Q3, and \$14.9 million in Q4, totaling \$76.2 million for the year. However, quarterly spending for FY 1979 is not included in this comparison, because the focus in this analysis is the decade-by-decade changes in average quarterly spending, and a single year is insufficient to represent a decade. Furthermore, this comparison by decade includes only three years—FY 2010 through FY 2012—from the decade FY 2010 to FY 2019. Although three years are of limited use in representing a decade for the purpose of comparative analysis, the researcher has included these years because they provide recent data that may be of interest to readers.

1990 through FY 1999), when average first-quarter spending (\$53 million) was nearly equal to average fourth-quarter spending (\$52 million). However, the pattern in quarterly spending changed in the subsequent decade (FY 2000 through FY 2009), when average first-quarter spending (\$110 million) was well below average fourth-quarter spending (\$164 million). This pattern has thus far continued into the three complete years of the fourth and current ten-year period (FY 2010 through FY 2012). In sum, federal spending on information products has grown substantially over time, as well as exhibiting increasing variability between fiscal years and within fiscal years.

With regard to the major products and services in the information market, six of the 15 types of information products and services accounted for 94 percent of federal government spending on the information market, as measured by contract value from FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3. Those products and services include books and pamphlets (21.4 percent of total spending); Web-based subscriptions (20.6 percent); administrative support for federal libraries (16.5 percent) and for information retrieval (12.5 percent); newspapers and periodicals (11.7 percent); and maps, atlases, charts, and globes (11.4 percent). (See Table 1, above, and Figure 6, below.) These commodities illustrate the federal information market's multidimensional nature, encompassing electronic resources, print media, and professional individual assistance.



**Figure 6. Federal Information Market, Products and Services, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**


Just as federal spending on the information market as a whole has varied over time, federal spending on specific products and services within that market has fluctuated over time. The federal government has directed most of its spending on information commodities to the

purchase of only a few products and services. However, new products and services have become prominent in this market, some for only a few years, others on a more enduring basis. Three commodities have consistently received a high proportion of total federal spending on information products and services: administrative support for federal libraries (PSC R605), books and pamphlets (7610), and newspapers and periodicals (7630). In the 1990s, Web-based subscriptions (D317) and administrative support for information retrieval (R612) emerged as prominent commodities, and these have remained popular expenditures throughout the period covered in this report. By contrast, the commodity group comprising maps, atlases, charts, and globes (7640) also became prominent in the federal information market during the 1990s but has since declined significantly as a component of the overall market. Federal spending on this commodity group peaked at \$195 million in FY 2003—34 percent of the entire information market for that year—and then ranged from \$131 million to \$146 million from FY 2004 through FY 2006. Thereafter, however, federal spending on this product category declined substantially, falling precipitously to \$4 million in FY 2007 and to \$2.6 million by FY 2012. (See figures 7 and 8, below.)


In addition, spending on the major elements of the federal government’s information market has exhibited some interesting—perhaps, surprising—changes. For example, in the period from FY 1997 through FY 2003, the Internet and electronic media emerged as prominent sources of information, and federal spending on Web-based subscriptions was higher than for most other information commodities. However, although the Internet and electronic media have remained prominent information sources, federal spending on Web-based subscriptions declined substantially from FY 2004 to FY 2006 and fluctuated thereafter.<sup>12</sup> Federal spending on two commodity groups—books and pamphlets and administrative support for libraries—also fluctuated from FY 1997 to FY 2012, although spending on these categories often exceeded spending on Web-based subscriptions. (See figures 7 and 8, below.) Many vendors of books and pamphlets publish both electronic and print materials, but the PSC data does not indicate whether spending in that category was for electronic or for print publications.

---


<sup>12</sup> The drop in federal spending on Web-based subscriptions (PSC D317) appears to have been due to reductions in spending by three federal agencies. More specifically, between FY2005 and FY2006 the Federal Acquisition Service reduced its spending with Computer Sciences Corporation by \$13.9 million, the Bureau of Public Debt decreased its spending with Forrester Research, by \$13.2 million, and the National Institutes of Health reduced its spending with Aspen Systems Corporation by \$7.7 million, a total drop in spending of \$34.9 million. All of these calculations are based on data downloaded from FPDS-NG.



**Figure 7. Federal Spending on Information Products and Services, FY 1979–FY 2012**




**Figure 8. Federal Spending on Information Products and Services, FY 2009 Q1–FY 2013 Q3**



**Figure 9. Federal Spending on Information Products and Services, by Decade**

Federal spending on various information commodities has concentrated increasingly on a narrower range of commodities. Since FY 2006, federal spending on maps, atlases, charts, and globes has declined, while spending on the other five information commodities—books and pamphlets, administrative support for libraries, newspapers and periodicals, Web-based subscriptions, and administrative support for information retrieval—has grown. Disaggregating federal spending on these commodities by decade reveals that the proportion of overall spending on these five commodities is growing over time. These five information products and services accounted for nearly 83 percent of the federal information market for the overall period from FY 1979 through FY 2012 Q3. (See Figure 6, above.) They accounted for nearly 94 percent of federal spending on the information market from FY 2010 to FY 2013 Q3 (see Figure 9, above), and nearly 96 percent of federal spending over the slightly longer period from FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3. (See Figure 10, below, and Table 12 in Appendix 3.)



**Figure 10. Federal Information Market, Products and Services, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

### INFORMATION MARKET SPENDING BY FEDERAL AGENCIES

Federal spending on information products and services from FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3 varied substantially among contracting agencies, from approximately \$9.4 million (National Science Foundation—NSF) to nearly \$3.8 billion (Department of Defense—DOD). Furthermore, federal spending on information commodities averaged approximately \$372 million per contracting agency. In the five-year period from FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3, agency spending ranged from \$2.6 million (NSF) to \$617.7 million (DOD), with an average spending of 102.4 million per contracting agency. (See Table 2, below.)


**Table 2. Federal Departments and Independent Agencies’ Spending on Information Products and Services, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**

| Departments and Independent Agencies    | Spending (in US\$ millions) FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3 | Percent of Total | Spending (in US\$ millions) FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3 | Percent of Total |
|---|--|------------------|--|------------------|
| Department of Defense                   | \$3,826.4                                      | 41.1%            | \$617.7  | 24.1%            |
| Department of Health and Human Services | \$953.9  | 10.3%            | \$332.5  | 13.0%            |
| Department of Commerce                  | \$538.1  | 5.8%             | \$239.3  | 9.3%             |
| Department of Justice                   | \$492.1  | 5.3%             | \$183.4  | 7.2%             |
| Department of the Treasury              | \$467.2  | 5.0%             | \$156.9  | 6.1%             |
| Environmental Protection Agency         | \$381.3  | 4.1%             | \$111.6  | 4.4%             |


**Table 2. Federal Departments and Independent Agencies' Spending on Information Products and Services, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**

| <b>Departments and Independent Agencies</b>        | <b>Spending<br/>(in US\$ millions)<br/>FY 1979–<br/>FY 2013 Q3</b> | <b>Percent<br/>of Total</b> | <b>Spending<br/>(in US\$ millions)<br/>FY 2009–<br/>FY 2013 Q3</b> | <b>Percent<br/>of Total</b> |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Department of Veterans Affairs                     | \$369.6  | 4.0%                        | \$165.5  | 6.5%                        |
| General Services Administration                    | \$368.8  | 4.0%                        | \$43.8   | 1.7%                        |
| All Other Agencies                                 | \$342.0  | 3.7%                        | \$132.9  | 5.2%                        |
| Social Security Administration                     | \$220.8  | 2.4%                        | \$94.1   | 3.7%                        |
| Department of Homeland Security                    | \$214.2  | 2.3%                        | \$138.3  | 5.4%                        |
| Department of the Interior                         | \$191.7  | 2.1%                        | \$66.8   | 2.6%                        |
| Department of Transportation                       | \$143.8  | 1.5%                        | \$20.5   | 0.8%                        |
| Department of State                                | \$142.3  | 1.5%                        | \$74.1   | 2.9%                        |
| National Aeronautics and Space Administration      | \$129.0  | 1.4%                        | \$24.7   | 1.0%                        |
| Department of Agriculture                          | \$128.7  | 1.4%                        | \$38.4   | 1.5%                        |
| Department of Energy                               | \$96.2   | 1.0%                        | \$22.4   | 0.9%                        |
| Department of Education                            | \$83.3   | 0.9%                        | \$49.6   | 1.9%                        |
| United States Agency for International Development | \$67.2   | 0.7%                        | \$5.7  | 0.2%                        |
| Department of Labor                                | \$49.6   | 0.5%                        | \$15.9   | 0.6%                        |
| Department of Housing and Urban Development        | \$39.5   | 0.4%                        | \$7.1  | 0.3%                        |
| Nuclear Regulatory Commission                      | \$22.7   | 0.2%                        | \$8.9  | 0.3%                        |
| Office of Personnel Management                     | \$12.2   | 0.1%                        | \$3.6  | 0.1%                        |
| Small Business Administration                      | \$9.5  | 0.1%                        | \$4.9  | 0.2%                        |
| National Science Foundation                        | \$9.4  | 0.1%                        | \$2.6  | 0.1%                        |
| <b>Total</b>                                       | <b>\$9,299.5</b>   | <b>100%</b>                 | <b>\$2,561.2</b>   | <b>100%</b>                 |
| <b>Average</b>                                     | <b>\$372.0</b>   |                             | <b>\$102.4</b>   |                             |

Five federal government departments accounted for nearly 67 percent of all contracts for information products and services from FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3, representing \$6.3 billion in spending during that period. Those were Defense (41 percent of total spending), Health and Human Services (10 percent), Commerce (6 percent), Justice (5 percent), and Treasury (5 percent). (See Table 2, above, and Figure 11, below.) In the most recent five years, FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3, these five departments accounted for a slightly lower proportion of the federal information market—60 percent. Two additional federal government departments emerged as prominent purchasers of information commodities, namely the departments of Homeland Security and Veterans Affairs. Each of these seven contracting departments accounted for at least 5 percent of federal spending on information products and services from FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3, and their collective spending on these commodities constituted 71 percent of the total federal information market. (See Table 2, above, and Figure 12, below.)




**Figure 11. Federal Information Market Spending by Agency as a Proportion of Total Spending, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**



**Figure 12. Federal Information Market Spending by Agency as a Proportion of Total Spending, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

U.S. government departments' spending on information products and services fluctuated during the span of fiscal years covered in this study—FY 1979 through FY 2012. However, in general, most departments' spending on information commodities either remained essentially constant or trended upward over the period. One apparent exception to this trend was the Department of Defense. Available data indicate a precipitous decline in DOD's spending on information commodities after 2006. (See Figure 13, below.)




**Figure 13. Federal Information Market, Top Federal Agency Spending Trends, FY 1979–FY 2012**

Analysis of federal procurement data from the FPDS-NG helps develop a detailed understanding of federal spending on information products and services at the departmental level, as well as at level of the agencies within the departments. However, sometimes the name of the contracting agency in the FPDS-NG database is unclear. The contracting agency specified in a federal procurement contract may be a department, such as the Department of State, but is more often an agency within a department, such as the National Institutes of Health (NIH), which falls under the Department of Health and Human Services. In other cases, the specific contracting agency is difficult to determine, because the database description is difficult to

interpret—for example, the designation “Department of Defense Educational Activity” refers neither to a department nor to an agency but to a departmental activity.


Federal procurement data indicate that, from FY 1979 through FY 2013Q3, several agencies under the Department of Defense were among the major purchasers of information products and services: the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA), the departments of the Air Force, Army, and Navy, the Department of Defense Educational Activity, and the Defense Logistics Agency. (See Figure 14, below.) These six agencies collectively spent \$3.6 billion on information products and services, accounting for 39 percent of all federal government spending in the information market. Other agencies prominent in the market were NIH (\$667 million in spending; 7 percent of overall spending), the Patent and Trademark Office (\$376 million; 4 percent), and the Internal Revenue Service (\$350 million; 4 percent).



**Figure 14. Federal Information Market, Top-Spending Federal Agencies, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**

With some exceptions, the major purchasing agencies during FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3 remained the major purchasers during the last five years of that period, FY 2009 through FY

2013 Q3. One such exception is the NGA, which spent more on information commodities than any single agency from FY 1990 through FY 2006, but has since dropped completely out of the information market, at least as far as can be determined from unclassified procurement data. (See Figure 15, below.)



**Figure 15. Federal Information Market, Top-Spending Federal Agencies, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

## CONTRACTORS IN THE FEDERAL INFORMATION MARKET

From FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3, federal agencies contracted with thousands of organizations to provide the 15 categories of information products and services listed in Table 1 (see above). Among this multitude of contractors, six vendors stood out for receiving one-fifth of all contracts, as measured by the value of those contracts. The top contractor for information commodities for the federal government was Reed Elsevier with \$457.4 million in contracts, followed by Space Imaging LLC<sup>13</sup> (\$392.8 million), West Publishing Corporation (\$366.8

<sup>13</sup> In 2006 Orbital Imaging Corporation (also known as ORBIMAGE) purchased Space Imaging LLC's assets and formed the company GeoEye. See GeoEye, "About Us," <http://www.geoeye.com/CorpSite/about-us/> (accessed July 29, 2011).

million), Arctic Slope Regional Corporation (\$267.7), DynCorp Information Systems (\$265.4), and Ebsco (\$261.1). These six companies collectively received nearly \$2 billion in federal government contracts for information products and services, nearly 22 percent of all contracts, as measured by contract value.

These and other contractors appear in Table 3, below, which lists the top ten recipients of federal government contracts for information products and services from FY 1979 to FY 2013 Q3. (Table 11 in Appendix 3 lists the top 50 vendors for the period.) These ten contractors collectively received \$2.6 billion in contracts for information commodities—more than a quarter (28 percent) of the information market for that period.

Table 3 provides a readily accessible listing of the prominent contractors in the federal information market, presenting the data in a slightly different manner than in previous versions of this report. In earlier iterations of this analysis, vendor data included combined contract data for both parent companies and their subsidiaries. This approach, however, did not present data for subsidiary companies that are prominent vendors for federal agencies, such as West Publishing, which is a subsidiary of Thomson Reuters. The table now provides data for companies as stated in the FPDS-NG. Parent companies are listed in parentheses following their subsidiaries, as in the example, “West Publishing Corp. (Thomson Reuters).”<sup>14</sup>

**Table 3. Top Ten Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**

|   | <b>Contractor<br/>(Parent Company in Parentheses)</b> | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | Reed Elsevier   | \$457.4                               | 4.9%                             |
| 2 | Space Imaging (GeoEye)                                | \$392.8                               | 4.2%                             |
| 3 | West Publishing Corp.<br>(Thomson Reuters)            | \$366.8                               | 3.9%                             |
| 4 | Arctic Slope Regional Corp.                           | \$267.7                               | 2.9%                             |

<sup>14</sup> The amounts in Table 3 differ from those provided in the equivalent tables in previous iterations of this report, with some vendors showing lower amounts and others showing higher amounts. These variations are the result of the discontinuation of one product service code (PSC) in these calculations (PSC 7690; see footnote 1); updated data available from the FPDS-NG; and data for subsidiary companies listed separately from their parent companies. In addition, previous iterations of this report incorrectly listed data for DynCorp Information Services as data for its parent company Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC); this version of the report lists DynCorp data separately from CSC.

**Table 3. Top Ten Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**

|    | <b>Contractor<br/>(Parent Company in Parentheses)</b>     | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> |
|----|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 5  | DynCorp Information Services<br>(Computer Sciences Corp.) | \$265.4                               | 2.9%                             |
| 6  | Ebsco   | \$261.1                               | 2.8%                             |
| 7  | DigitalGlobe  | \$175.9                               | 1.9%                             |
| 8  | Gartner   | \$165.6                               | 1.8%                             |
| 9  | IHS Global  | \$151.4                               | 1.6%                             |
| 10 | Swets & Zeitlinger  | \$119.7                               | 1.3%                             |
|    | <b>Total</b>  | <b>\$2,623.8</b>                      | <b>28.2%</b>                     |

In the most recent five-year period, some indicators suggest that a declining number of vendors account for an increasingly large proportion of the federal information market. With some exceptions, the major providers of information products and services for the period from FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3 were also the major providers of those commodities in the last five-year period, from FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3, at least as measured by the value of the contracts those vendors signed with federal agencies. (See Table 4, below.) Moreover, the number of vendors accounting for the majority of information commodities was smaller during the last five fiscal years than during the 35-year period stretching back to 1979. Specifically, 45 vendors accounted for 50 percent of the federal information market from FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3, whereas 22 vendors accounted for 50 percent of that market from FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3. (See tables 10 and 11, Appendix 3.) Moreover, eight vendors received 25 percent of all federal spending on information commodities from FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3, whereas five companies received the same percentage of federal spending on information products and services in the most recent five fiscal years (FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3). Similarly, the top 50 vendors accounted for 52 percent of the federal information market from FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3, and the top 50 vendors in the last five fiscal years accounted for nearly 63 percent of the market.

The declining number of vendors providing the majority of information products and services to the federal government appears to reflect two trends. First, companies in the information market are consolidating as some firms acquire others. Second, many vendors have received most of their federal procurement funding for information commodities within the last five fiscal years (FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3). Specifically, 29 of the top 50 vendors experienced 50 percent or more of their information commodity sales within the most recent five years, and an additional 7 of the top 50 vendors realized 25 percent or more of their information commodity sales in that same period. For example, long-established organizations such as the American Chemical Society, Dun & Bradstreet, Pearson Education, and Swets & Zeitlinger have provided information products and services to the federal government since FY 1995 or earlier, and all of these entities have experienced more than 50 percent of their information commodity sales to the federal government in the last five fiscal years. (See Table 12, below, in Appendix 3.)

**Table 4. Top Ten Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

|   | <b>Contractor<br/>(Parent Company in Parentheses)</b> | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | Reed Elsevier   | \$222.6                               | 8.7%                             |
| 2 | West Publishing Corp.<br>(Thomson Reuters)            | \$182.4                               | 7.1%                             |
| 3 | Arctic Slope Regional Corp.                           | \$112.7                               | 4.4%                             |
| 4 | Ebsco   | \$93.6                                | 3.7%                             |
| 5 | Swets & Zeitlinger                                    | \$78.8                                | 3.1%                             |
| 6 | American Chemical Society                             | \$66.2                                | 2.6%                             |
| 7 | Dun & Bradstreet                                      | \$60.1                                | 2.3%                             |
| 8 | Primus Solutions<br>(Arctic Slope Regional Corp.)     | \$49.9                                | 1.9%                             |
| 9 | Misc. Foreign Contractors/<br>Awardees                | \$49.9                                | 1.9%                             |

**Table 4. Top Ten Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

|    | <b>Contractor<br/>(Parent Company in Parentheses)</b> | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> |
|----|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 10 | Computer Sciences Corp./<br>CSC Information Systems   | \$42.4                                | 1.7%                             |
|    | <b>Total</b>  | <b>\$958.6</b>                        | <b>37.4%</b>                     |

The data in tables 3 and 4 indicate the major vendors in the overall information market, but not the major vendors for particular information products and services. Appendix 3 contains tables listing the major vendors for the top five information commodities for the previous five fiscal years—FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3. Those five commodities were (in declining order of their proportion of the market): books and pamphlets, Web-based subscriptions, newspapers and periodicals, administrative support for information retrieval, and administrative support for libraries. (See Figure 8, above.)

## **BENEFITS OF A STRATEGICALLY SOURCED INFORMATION MARKET**

Thus far, this analysis has examined the federal information market as it has existed to date, without a federal strategic-sourcing program for information products and services. Calculations based on existing spending figures suggest that an initiative to source information products and services strategically could yield substantial savings on these products and services. For example, if an FSSI had covered information commodities in FY 2012, the federal government could have saved approximately \$30 million to \$120 million on information products and services. (See Table 5, below.)


This estimate is based on a set of scenarios in which the government purchases information commodities at discounts ranging from 5 to 20 percent—comparable discounts to those that federal contracting agencies have realized in existing strategic-sourcing initiatives administered by GSA (see Overview of the Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative, above). If federal contracting agencies had received such discounts for their expenditures during the most recent five fiscal years, the projected savings increase would range from approximately \$130 million to approximately \$510 million, as illustrated in Figure 16, below. In Figure 16, the tops of the colored-bar segments indicate the total spending levels that the federal government might

realize through strategic sourcing of information commodities at different discount rates. The tops of the red segments indicate spending on information commodities without any discount, the tops of the green segments indicate spending with a 5 percent discount, the tops of the yellow segments indicate spending at a 10 percent discount, and the tops of the blue segments indicate spending at a 20 percent discount.

**Table 5. FY 2012 Spending by Agency Under Different Savings Scenarios**


| Agency                                  | No FSSI        | 5%             |               | 10%            |               | 20%            |                |
|---|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
|   | Spending       | Spending       | Savings       | Spending       | Savings       | Spending       | Savings        |
| Department of Defense                   | \$131.4        | \$124.82       | \$6.57        | \$118.25       | \$13.14       | \$105.11       | \$26.28        |
| Department of Health and Human Services | \$75.8         | \$71.99        | \$3.79        | \$68.20        | \$7.58        | \$60.63        | \$15.16        |
| Department of Commerce                  | \$59.5         | \$56.57        | \$2.98        | \$53.59        | \$5.95        | \$47.64        | \$11.91        |
| Department of Justice                   | \$49.5         | \$47.01        | \$2.47        | \$44.54        | \$4.95        | \$39.59        | \$9.90         |
| Department of Homeland Security         | \$40.5         | \$38.46        | \$2.02        | \$36.43        | \$4.05        | \$32.38        | \$8.10         |
| Department of the Treasury              | \$36.5         | \$34.72        | \$1.83        | \$32.89        | \$3.65        | \$29.24        | \$7.31         |
| Department of Veterans Affairs          | \$35.2         | \$33.39        | \$1.76        | \$31.64        | \$3.52        | \$28.12        | \$7.03         |
| All Other Agencies                      | \$163.9        | \$155.73       | \$8.20        | \$147.54       | \$16.39       | \$131.14       | \$32.79        |
| <b>Total</b>                            | <b>\$592.3</b> | <b>\$562.7</b> | <b>\$29.6</b> | <b>\$533.1</b> | <b>\$59.2</b> | <b>\$473.8</b> | <b>\$118.5</b> |

All figures are in \$ millions.




**Figure 16. Agency Spending with Different Savings Scenarios, FY 2009 Q1–FY 2013 Q3**

Applying discounted savings rates to the entire period covered by this analysis—FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3—further emphasizes the savings the federal government could have realized through strategic-sourcing arrangements. If the federal government had had strategic-sourcing initiatives in place, covering all the PSCs that constitute the federal information market as defined in this analysis, the government could have saved approximately \$460 million to \$1.9 billion—5 percent and 20 percent savings, respectively, of the total spending of \$9.2 billion for the period FY 1979 through FY 2013 Q3. (See Figure 17, below.) The near \$1.9 billion in savings that the federal government could have realized through a 20 percent discount on spending in this 35-year period exceeds the \$1.7 billion federal spending on information commodities over the last three completed fiscal years (\$530 million in FY 2010, \$583 million in FY 2011, and \$592 million in FY 2012).



**Figure 17. Federal Spending Using Different Savings Scenarios, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**

The graph in Figure 18, below, shows actual federal spending on information commodities, as well as projections of federal spending on those products and services at discounts of 5 percent, 10 percent, and 20 percent. This figure highlights the financial benefits to the federal government of strategic sourcing. The graph indicates that, at a 20 percent discount, the federal market would have rarely exceeded \$500 million in any of the fiscal years from FY 1979 through FY 2012.



**Figure 18. Federal Spending on Information Products and Services under Different Savings Scenarios, FY 1979–FY 2012**


Strategic sourcing could potentially save the federal government money on information products and services in the years beyond FY 2012. The spending trend in the information market from FY 1979 through FY 2012 suggests that spending levels for FY 2013 through FY 2015 may remain close to those of FY 2011 and FY 2012. Specifically, in FY 2011 and FY 2012, spending on information commodities was \$583 million to \$592 million, respectively. The projected spending for FY 2013 is \$597 million, followed by a slight decline to \$591 million in FY 2015. (See Table 6, below.)

**Table 6. Spending Projections, FY 2013–FY 2015**

| Fiscal Year | Spending Projection | 95% Prediction Interval* |         |
|-------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|             |                     | Minimum                  | Maximum |
| 2013        | \$596.6             | \$435.6                  | \$757.7 |
| 2014        | \$595.8             | \$433.9                  | \$757.6 |
| 2015        | \$590.6             | \$427.9                  | \$753.2 |

All figures in US\$ millions.  
 \*Prediction intervals were derived with a Bonferroni coefficient. See Appendix 4, below, for details.

If the federal government established a strategic-sourcing program for information products and services, and if all federal agencies participated in the program, the government could realize total savings in the range of nearly \$90 million to \$360 million over the three years from FY 2013 through FY 2015, based on discounts of 5 percent and 20 percent, respectively. (See Table 7, Appendix 1.) Figure 18, below, depicts estimated growth in the information market by FY 2015 under various discount scenarios.



**Figure 19. Projected Growth and Potential Savings in the Federal Information Market, FY 2013–FY 2015**

The researcher derived these forecasts from a statistical analysis of changes in the federal information market from FY 1979 through FY 2012. To produce this statistical analysis, the researcher fitted a cubic regression model to the data for FY 1979 through FY 2012, using this model to derive forecasts for FY 2013 through FY 2015. The red line in Figure 19, above, represents the cubic regression line, and the solid blue line depicts actual spending. The red regression line and various dashed blue lines depict forecasts of spending at various savings rates. Although they are simplified projections, based on the performance of existing FSSI

programs, and, therefore, simply provide probabilistic estimates of savings and growth, these calculations illustrate the economic usefulness of strategic sourcing.<sup>15</sup>

These projections of future growth and potential savings in the information market assume that all federal agencies would participate in a strategic-sourcing program for information products and services. In actuality, the number of federal agencies participating in the three currently available FSSI programs has varied. For example, six agencies have participated in the wireless-services program, and 155 agencies have participated in the program for domestic delivery services.<sup>16</sup>

Recalculating the growth and savings scenarios to include variations in federal agency participation, a richer, would permit a more detailed picture of potential savings through strategic sourcing to emerge. However, such an exercise entails creating numerous scenarios in which agencies do or do not participate in strategic sourcing to varying extents and at varying discount rates, calculations that are beyond the scope of this report. To estimate the variation in the amount of savings that the federal agencies might realize if varying proportions of agencies participated in an information-commodity FSSI program, the researcher made additional limited calculations of savings, based on varying proportions of spending through such an FSSI program at varying discount rates. Specifically, the researcher calculated spending and savings on information products and services if one-fourth, one-third, and one-half of such spending occurred at the discount rates of 5 percent, 10 percent, and 20 percent.


These findings are detailed in Table 8 (see Appendix 1) and depicted in Figure 20, below. According to the researcher's calculations, total savings on federal spending on information commodities would vary from almost \$20 million, if one-fourth of such spending occurred at a 5 percent discount, to nearly \$360 million, if all of this spending occurred at a 20 percent discount. In Figure 20, the colored-bar segments indicate spending levels at different discount rates. For example, the tops of the green segments indicate spending on information commodities at a 5 percent discount, the tops of the yellow segments indicate spending at a 10 percent discount, and

---

<sup>15</sup> The equation for the cubic regression is  $Y=103.65 - 26.97 X + 2.83 X^2 - 0.05 X^3$ ;  $R^2=0.94$ , and model standard error of equation ( $SE_{\hat{y}}$ ) is 53.8. The metrics for the linear regressions model for the same data were  $Y= -59.3 + 19.5 X$ ,  $R^2=0.87$ , and  $SE_{\hat{y}}=75.6$ . For the quadratic regression model, those metrics were  $Y=26.1 + 3.5 X + 0.5 X^2$ ;  $R^2=0.911$ , and  $SE_{\hat{y}}=64.4$ . The confidence and prediction intervals of the cubic regression incorporate a Bonferroni coefficient ( $B=t(1-0.05/(2 \times 3), 34-4)=t(0.9917, 30)=2.825$ ).

<sup>16</sup> U.S. General Services Administration, "Strategic Sourcing Metrics."

the tops of the blue segments indicate spending at a 20 percent discount. The red bar on the left of the graph depicts spending forecasts with no discount.



**Figure 20. Total Spending Projections Based on Various Strategic-Sourcing Scenarios, FY 2013–FY 2015**

**APPENDIX 1. Estimated Spending and Savings Projections**

**Table 7. Projected Growth and Savings in the Federal Information Market, FY 2013–FY 2015**

| Saving Rates | 2013     |         | 2014     |         | 2015     |         | Total     |         | Average Annual Savings |
|--------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|------------------------|
|              | Spending | Savings | Spending | Savings | Spending | Savings | Spending  | Savings |                        |
| No FSSI      | \$596.6  | \$0     | \$595.8  | \$0     | \$590.6  | \$0     | \$1,783.0 | \$0     | \$0                    |
| 5% savings   | \$566.8  | \$29.8  | \$566.0  | \$29.8  | \$561.0  | \$29.5  | \$1,693.8 | \$89.1  | \$29.7                 |
| 10% savings  | \$537.0  | \$59.7  | \$536.2  | \$59.6  | \$531.5  | \$59.1  | \$1,604.7 | \$178.3 | \$59.4                 |
| 20% savings  | \$477.3  | \$119.3 | \$476.6  | \$119.2 | \$472.5  | \$118.1 | \$1,426.4 | \$356.6 | \$118.9                |

All figures are in US\$ millions.

**Table 8. Total Spending Projections Based on Strategic-Sourcing Spending, FY 2013–FY 2015**

| Proportion of Spending Through Strategic Sourcing | 5% Discount |         | 10% Discount |         | 20% Discount |         |
|---|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|
|   | Spending    | Savings | Spending     | Savings | Spending     | Savings |
| None  | \$1,783.0   | \$0     | \$1,783.0    | \$0     | \$1,783.0    | \$0     |
| One-Fourth  | \$1,760.7   | \$22.3  | \$1,738.4    | \$44.6  | \$1,693.8    | \$89.1  |
| One-Third   | \$1,753.5   | \$29.4  | \$1,724.1    | \$58.8  | \$1,665.3    | \$117.7 |
| One-Half  | \$1,738.4   | \$44.6  | \$1,693.8    | \$89.1  | \$1,604.7    | \$178.3 |
| All   | \$1,693.8   | \$89.1  | \$1,604.7    | \$178.3 | \$1,426.4    | \$356.6 |

All figures are in US\$ millions.

**APPENDIX 2. Product Service Code Definitions**

The U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) has established formal definitions for the product service codes (PSCs) that are used in procurement contracts for the federal government. The following table includes the GSA’s definitions for the 15 PSCs featured in this report.

**Table 9. Formal Definitions of Product Service Codes**

| PSC  | Definition   |
|------|--|
| 76   | None. This is not a product service code, but a product service group, specifically books, maps, and other publications.   |
| 7610 | Books and pamphlets<br>Includes: Technical and nontechnical books and pamphlets; regulations; instruction manuals; technical orders<br>Excludes: Sheet and book music; periodicals; bibles |
| 7630 | Newspapers and periodicals   |
| 7640 | Maps, atlases, charts, and globes<br>Excludes: Training aid maps   |
| 7641 | Aeronautic maps, charts, and geodetic products   |
| 7642 | Hydrographic maps, charts, and geodetic products   |
| 7643 | Topographic maps, charts, and geodetic products  |

**Table 9. Formal Definitions of Product Service Codes**

| PSC   | Definition  |
|---|---|
| 7644  | Digital maps, charts, and geoditic products   |
| 7650  | Drawings and specifications<br>Includes: Federal, military, and departmental specifications   |
| 7660  | Sheet and book music<br>Excludes: Hymnbooks   |
| 7670  | Microfilm processed   |
| D317  | IT and telecom–Web-based subscription<br>Includes: Subscriptions to data, electronic equivalent of books, periodicals, newspapers, etc.       |
| L076  | Technical representative–books, maps, and other publications  |
| R605  | Support–administrative: Library   |
| R612  | Support–administrative: Information retrieval<br>Includes: services related to search and storage of text, images, video, and other such data |
| <p>Source: U.S. General Services Administration, Office of Governmentwide Policy, <i>Federal Procurement Data System, Product and Service Codes Manual</i>, Washington, DC, August 2011, 66–202, <a href="https://www.acquisition.gov/PSC%20Manual%20-%20Final%20-%202011%20August%202011.pdf">https://www.acquisition.gov/PSC%20Manual%20-%20Final%20-%202011%20August%202011.pdf</a> (accessed September 12, 2011).</p> |   |

**APPENDIX 3. Major Vendors for Major Information Commodities, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

The tables below provide spending data for the top vendors of the top information commodities for the most recent five fiscal years, FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3. Five information products and services account for \$2.4 billion in federal spending on information commodities from FY 2009 through FY 2013 Q3—96 percent of the overall \$2.6 billion spent in that period. The following tables provide details of spending on those commodities in that five-year period and name the top ten vendors for each commodity.

**Table 10. Federal Information Market, Products and Services, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

| <b>Products and Services<br/>(Product Service Code)</b>             | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$<br/>millions)</b> | <b>Percentage<br/>of Total<br/>Contracts</b> |
|---|---|--|
| Books and pamphlets (7610)  | \$630.5                                   | 24.6%  |
| Web-based subscriptions (D317)                                      | \$492.9                                   | 19.2%  |
| Administrative support, information retrieval (R612)                | \$488.4                                   | 19.1%  |
| Administrative support, library (R605)                              | \$466.8                                   | 18.2%  |
| Newspapers and periodicals (7630)                                   | \$380.9                                   | 14.9%  |
| Drawings and specifications (7650)                                  | \$27.8                                    | 1.1%   |
| Digital maps, charts, and geodetic products (7644)                  | \$27.7                                    | 1.1%   |
| Technical representative—books, maps, and other publications (L076) | \$24.3                                    | 0.9%   |
| Maps, atlases, charts, and globes (7640)                            | \$8.6                                     | 0.3%   |
| Aeronautic maps, charts, and geodetic products (7641)               | \$6.4                                     | 0.2%   |
| Microfilm, processed (7670)   | \$3.0                                     | 0.1%   |

**Table 10. Federal Information Market, Products and Services, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

| <b>Products and Services<br/>(Product Service Code)</b> | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$<br/>millions)</b> | <b>Percentage<br/>of Total<br/>Contracts</b> |
|---|---|--|
| Topographic maps, charts, and geodetic products (7643)  | \$2.0                                     | 0.1%   |
| Hydrographic maps, charts, and geodetic products (7642) | \$1.4                                     | 0.1%   |
| Sheet and book music (7660)                             | \$0.7                                     | 0.0%   |
| Books, maps, other publications (76)                    | \$0.0                                     | 0.0%   |
| <b>Total</b>  | <b>\$2,561.2</b>                          | <b>100.0%</b>                                |

Tables 10 and 11 list the vendors stated in the FPDS-NG, listing parent companies in parentheses after their subsidiaries—“Bureau of National Affairs (Bloomberg),” for example. Although some contractor names in tables 9 and 10 are difficult to interpret—namely “Miscellaneous Foreign Awardee” and “Miscellaneous Foreign Contractor”—these entries for the contract awardees are reproduced verbatim, just as listed in the FPDS-NG.

**Table 11. Top 50 Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**

|   | <b>Contractor<br/>(Parent Company in Parentheses)</b>    | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | Reed Elsevier  | \$457.4                               | 4.9%                             |
| 2 | Space Imaging<br>(GeoEye)                                | \$392.8                               | 4.2%                             |
| 3 | West Publishing Corp.<br>(Thomson Reuters)               | \$366.8                               | 3.9%                             |
| 4 | Arctic Slope Regional Corp.                              | \$267.7                               | 2.9%                             |
| 5 | DynCorp Information Systems<br>(Computer Sciences Corp.) | \$265.4                               | 2.9%                             |

**Table 11. Top 50 Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**

|    | <b>Contractor<br/>(Parent Company in Parentheses)</b> | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> |
|----|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 6  | Ebsco   | \$261.1                               | 2.8%                             |
| 7  | DigitalGlobe  | \$175.9                               | 1.9%                             |
| 8  | Gartner   | \$165.6                               | 1.8%                             |
| 9  | IHS Global  | \$151.4                               | 1.6%                             |
| 10 | Swets & Zeitlinger                                    | \$119.7                               | 1.3%                             |
| 11 | American Chemical Society                             | \$106.0                               | 1.1%                             |
| 12 | LABAT-Anderson  | \$102.3                               | 1.1%                             |
| 13 | XMCO<br>(Koniag)                                      | \$101.4                               | 1.1%                             |
| 14 | Lockheed Martin Corp.                                 | \$101.0                               | 1.1%                             |
| 15 | Dun & Bradstreet                                      | \$79.1                                | 0.9%                             |
| 16 | Faxon   | \$77.4                                | 0.8%                             |
| 17 | Cenveo  | \$75.2                                | 0.8%                             |
| 18 | CCH   | \$71.9                                | 0.8%                             |
| 19 | Misc. Foreign Contractors/<br>Awardees                | \$70.7                                | 0.8%                             |
| 20 | McGraw-Hill Companies                                 | \$69.5                                | 0.7%                             |
| 21 | Alaska Newspapers<br>(Calista)                        | \$69.1                                | 0.7%                             |
| 22 | Basch Subscriptions                                   | \$67.1                                | 0.7%                             |
| 23 | Pearson Education<br>(Pearson)                        | \$65.0                                | 0.7%                             |

**Table 11. Top 50 Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**

|    | <b>Contractor<br/>(Parent Company in Parentheses)</b>                  | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 24 | Techna-Graphics  | \$62.6                                | 0.7%                             |
| 25 | Computer Sciences Corp.  | \$59.5                                | 0.6%                             |
| 26 | Bureau of National Affairs<br>(Bloomberg)                              | \$57.2                                | 0.6%                             |
| 27 | Readmore   | \$53.7                                | 0.6%                             |
| 28 | Information International Associates                                   | \$53.6                                | 0.6%                             |
| 29 | GCI Information Services   | \$52.6                                | 0.6%                             |
| 30 | Primus Solutions<br>(Arctic Slope Regional Corp.)                      | \$49.9                                | 0.5%                             |
| 31 | Alutiiq Business Services<br>(Afognak Native Corp.)                    | \$49.9                                | 0.5%                             |
| 32 | Choicepoint<br>(Reed Elsevier)   | \$45.2                                | 0.5%                             |
| 33 | CSR  | \$41.8                                | 0.4%                             |
| 34 | Andrulis Corp.<br>(Dynamics Research Corp.)                            | \$41.2                                | 0.4%                             |
| 35 | Internet Systems   | \$39.0                                | 0.4%                             |
| 36 | International Health Terminology<br>Standards Development Organisation | \$38.4                                | 0.4%                             |
| 37 | TBG  | \$38.1                                | 0.4%                             |
| 38 | Southern Bell (AT&T)   | \$36.4                                | 0.4%                             |
| 39 | Golden Books Publishing Company  | \$35.9                                | 0.4%                             |
| 40 | Ovid Technologies<br>(Wolters Kluwer)                                  | \$35.6                                | 0.4%                             |
| 41 | Cartech  | \$35.4                                | 0.4%                             |

**Table 11. Top 50 Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 1979–FY 2013 Q3**

| <b>Contractor<br/>(Parent Company in Parentheses)</b> |   | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 42  | Dialog LLC                                  | \$35.2                                | 0.4%                             |
| 43  | Great Atlantic News                         | \$35.2                                | 0.4%                             |
| 44  | Key Book Service                            | \$35.2                                | 0.4%                             |
| 45  | Academy for Educational Development         | \$34.6                                | 0.4%                             |
| 46  | Logical Technical Services<br>(Sentrillion) | \$32.8                                | 0.4%                             |
| 47  | American Overseas Book Company              | \$32.6                                | 0.4%                             |
| 48  | Wilson Information Services                 | \$32.5                                | 0.3%                             |
| 49  | ProQuest LLC                                | \$32.2                                | 0.3%                             |
| 50  | Hewlett-Packard                             | \$32.1                                | 0.3%                             |
| <b>Total</b>  |   | <b>\$4,807.9</b>                      | <b>51.7%</b>                     |

**Table 12. Top 50 Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

| <b>Contractor<br/>(Parent Company in Parentheses)</b> |  | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> | <b>Last Five<br/>Years as Pct. of<br/>All Years</b> |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 1   | Reed Elsevier                              | \$222.6                               | 8.7%                             | 48.7%   |
| 2   | West Publishing Corp.<br>(Thomson Reuters) | \$182.4                               | 7.1%                             | 49.7%   |
| 3   | Arctic Slope Regional Corp.                | \$112.7                               | 4.4%                             | 42.1%   |
| 4   | Ebsco                                      | \$93.6                                | 3.7%                             | 35.8%   |
| 5   | Swets & Zeitlinger                         | \$78.8                                | 3.1%                             | 65.8%   |

**Table 12. Top 50 Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

|    | <b>Contractor<br/>(Parent Company in Parentheses)</b>                  | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> | <b>Last Five<br/>Years as Pct. of<br/>All Years</b> |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 6  | American Chemical Society  | \$66.2                                | 2.6%                             | 62.5%   |
| 7  | Dun & Bradstreet   | \$60.1                                | 2.3%                             | 76.0%   |
| 8  | Primus Solutions<br>(Arctic Slope Regional Corp.)                      | \$49.9                                | 1.9%                             | 100.0%  |
| 9  | Misc. Foreign Contractors/<br>Awardees                                 | \$49.9                                | 1.9%                             | 70.6%   |
| 10 | Computer Sciences Corp.  | \$42.4                                | 1.7%                             | 71.2%   |
| 11 | Pearson Education(Pearson)   | \$41.9                                | 1.6%                             | 64.4%   |
| 12 | IHS Global   | \$38.9                                | 1.5%                             | 25.7%   |
| 13 | Basch Subscriptions  | \$38.3                                | 1.5%                             | 57.1%   |
| 14 | Dialog LLC   | \$33.8                                | 1.3%                             | 96.0%   |
| 15 | International Health Terminology<br>Standards Development Organisation | \$30.0                                | 1.2%                             | 78.1%   |
| 16 | McGraw-Hill Companies  | \$22.7                                | 0.9%                             | 32.6%   |
| 17 | CCH  | \$21.3                                | 0.8%                             | 29.6%   |
| 18 | Library Associates   | \$21.1                                | 0.8%                             | 71.6%   |
| 19 | All Native Services  | \$20.7                                | 0.8%                             | 100.0%  |
| 20 | Ovid Technologies<br>(Wolters Kluwer)                                  | \$20.5                                | 0.8%                             | 57.7%   |
| 21 | Mackin Book Company  | \$19.7                                | 0.8%                             | 81.3%   |
| 22 | XMCO<br>(Koniag)   | \$19.5                                | 0.8%                             | 19.2%   |
| 23 | Hewlett-Packard  | \$19.3                                | 0.8%                             | 60.3%   |
| 24 | CQ-Roll Call   | \$18.6                                | 0.7%                             | 100.0%  |
| 25 | Quickseries Publishing   | \$18.3                                | 0.7%                             | 63.0%   |

**Table 12. Top 50 Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

|    | <b>Contractor<br/>(Parent Company in Parentheses)</b> | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> | <b>Last Five<br/>Years as Pct. of<br/>All Years</b> |
|----|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 26 | Great Atlantic News                                   | \$17.2                                | 0.7%                             | 48.7%   |
| 27 | Thomson Reuters (Scientific) LLC<br>(Thomson Reuters) | \$16.5                                | 0.6%                             | 51.4%   |
| 28 | ProQuest LLC  | \$16.2                                | 0.6%                             | 50.3%   |
| 29 | Advanced Educational Products                         | \$16.0                                | 0.6%                             | 64.8%   |
| 30 | Cox Subscriptions                                     | \$14.4                                | 0.6%                             | 89.2%   |
| 31 | Bloomberg   | \$13.8                                | 0.5%                             | 64.3%   |
| 32 | New Directions Technologies                           | \$13.7                                | 0.5%                             | 48.3%   |
| 33 | LABAT-Anderson  | \$13.4                                | 0.5%                             | 13.1%   |
| 34 | Bureau of National Affairs<br>(Bloomberg)             | \$12.4                                | 0.5%                             | 21.7%   |
| 35 | Complete Book and Media Supply                        | \$11.7                                | 0.5%                             | 62.8%   |
| 36 | Wilson Information Services                           | \$11.0                                | 0.4%                             | 33.9%   |
| 37 | Heitech Services                                      | \$10.5                                | 0.4%                             | 84.3%   |
| 38 | GRB Environmental Services                            | \$10.3                                | 0.4%                             | 38.1%   |
| 39 | John Wiley & Sons                                     | \$9.6                                 | 0.4%                             | 75.8%   |
| 40 | K4 Solutions  | \$9.0                                 | 0.4%                             | 82.3%   |
| 41 | LRP Publications                                      | \$8.9                                 | 0.3%                             | 46.8%   |
| 42 | Information International Associates                  | \$8.9                                 | 0.3%                             | 16.6%   |
| 43 | 2020 Company LLC                                      | \$8.9                                 | 0.3%                             | 72.0%   |
| 44 | California Department of Public<br>Health             | \$8.7                                 | 0.3%                             | 87.5%   |
| 45 | GovDelivery<br>(ICG Group)                            | \$8.5                                 | 0.3%                             | 100.0%  |

**Table 12. Top 50 Contractors in the Federal Information Market, FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

|              | <b>Contractor<br/>(Parent Company in Parentheses)</b> | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> | <b>Last Five<br/>Years as Pct. of<br/>All Years</b> |
|--------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 46           | Science Applications International Corp. (SAIC)       | \$8.4                                 | 0.3%                             | 27.5%   |
| 47           | ICF Macro   | \$7.8                                 | 0.3%                             | 30.9%   |
| 48           | Channing Bete Company                                 | \$6.9                                 | 0.3%                             | 31.3%   |
| 49           | Gartner   | \$6.3                                 | 0.2%                             | 3.8%  |
| 50           | Standard & Poor's                                     | \$6.1                                 | 0.2%                             | 34.2%   |
| <b>Total</b> |   | <b>\$1,618.5</b>                      | <b>63.2%</b>                     |   |

**Table 13. Top Contractors for Books and Pamphlets (PSC 7610), FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

|   | <b>Contractor</b>                 | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | American Chemical Society         | \$61.7                                | 9.8%                             |
| 2 | West Publishing Corp.             | \$48.7                                | 7.7%                             |
| 3 | Pearson Education                 | \$41.8                                | 6.6%                             |
| 4 | Basch Subscriptions               | \$30.2                                | 4.8%                             |
| 5 | Ebsco                             | \$30.2                                | 4.8%                             |
| 6 | Reed Elsevier                     | \$25.9                                | 4.1%                             |
| 7 | Miscellaneous Foreign Contractors | \$22.7                                | 3.6%                             |
| 8 | Mackin Book Company               | \$19.7                                | 3.1%                             |
| 9 | XMCO                              | \$19.5                                | 3.1%                             |

**Table 13. Top Contractors for Books and Pamphlets (PSC 7610), FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

| Contractor   |                        | Contracts<br>(in \$ millions) | Pct. of All<br>Contracts |
|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 10           | Quickseries Publishing | \$18.3                        | 2.9%                     |
| <b>Total</b> |                        | <b>\$318.7</b>                | <b>50.6%</b>             |

**Table 14. Top Contractors for Web-Based Subscriptions (PSC D317), FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

| Contractor   |  | Contracts<br>(in \$ millions) | Pct. of All<br>Contracts |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1            | West Publishing Corp.  | \$76.7                        | 15.6%                    |
| 2            | Reed Elsevier  | \$68.9                        | 14.0%                    |
| 3            | Dialog LLC   | \$31.9                        | 6.5%                     |
| 4            | International Health Terminology<br>Standards Development Organisation | \$30.0                        | 6.1%                     |
| 5            | Computer Sciences Corp.  | \$16.7                        | 3.4%                     |
| 6            | New Directions Technologies  | \$13.7                        | 2.8%                     |
| 7            | Dun & Bradstreet   | \$11.1                        | 2.3%                     |
| 8            | Ebsco  | \$11.0                        | 2.2%                     |
| 9            | IHS Global   | \$10.5                        | 2.1%                     |
| 10           | GovDelivery  | \$8.5                         | 1.7%                     |
| <b>Total</b> |  | <b>\$279.1</b>                | <b>56.6%</b>             |

**Table 15. Top Contractors for Newspapers and Periodicals (PSC 7630), FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

|    | <b>Contractor</b>                 | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> |
|----|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1  | Swets & Zeitlinger                | \$61.5                                | 16.2%                            |
| 2  | Reed Elsevier                     | \$55.9                                | 14.7%                            |
| 3  | Ebsco                             | \$34.3                                | 9.0%                             |
| 4  | Miscellaneous Foreign Contractors | \$18.2                                | 4.8%                             |
| 5  | Great Atlantic News               | \$17.2                                | 4.5%                             |
| 6  | West Publishing Corp.             | \$17.1                                | 4.5%                             |
| 7  | Thomson Reuters (Scientific) LLC  | \$11.4                                | 3.0%                             |
| 8  | CQ-Roll Call                      | \$11.3                                | 3.0%                             |
| 9  | ProQuest LLC                      | \$8.6                                 | 2.3%                             |
| 10 | Basch Subscriptions               | \$7.7                                 | 2.0%                             |
|    | <b>Total</b>                      | <b>\$243.1</b>                        | <b>63.8%</b>                     |

**Table 16. Top Contractors for Administrative Support: Information Retrieval (PSC R612), FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

|    | <b>Contractor</b>                        | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1  | Reed Elsevier                            | \$65.4                                | 13.4%                            |
| 2  | Dun & Bradstreet                         | \$45.5                                | 9.3%                             |
| 3  | Primus Solutions                         | \$41.8                                | 8.6%                             |
| 4  | West Publishing Corp.                    | \$33.0                                | 6.8%                             |
| 5  | Hewlett-Packard                          | \$19.3                                | 4.0%                             |
| 6  | IHS Global                               | \$10.7                                | 2.2%                             |
| 7  | Bloomberg                                | \$8.8                                 | 1.8%                             |
| 8  | California Department of Public Health   | \$8.7                                 | 1.8%                             |
| 9  | ICF Macro                                | \$7.8                                 | 1.6%                             |
| 10 | Science Applications International Corp. | \$0.0                                 | 0.0%                             |
|    | <b>Total</b>                             | <b>\$241.1</b>                        | <b>49.4%</b>                     |

**Table 17. Top Contractors for Administrative Support: Library (PSC R605), FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

|   | <b>Contractor</b>           | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | Arctic Slope Regional Corp. | \$112.1                               | 24.0%                            |
| 2 | Computer Sciences Corp.     | \$25.7                                | 5.5%                             |
| 3 | Library Associates          | \$21.1                                | 4.5%                             |

**Table 17. Top Contractors for Administrative Support: Library (PSC R605),  
FY 2009–FY 2013 Q3**

|    | <b>Contractor</b>                    | <b>Contracts<br/>(in \$ millions)</b> | <b>Pct. of All<br/>Contracts</b> |
|----|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 4  | Ebsco                                | \$15.6                                | 3.3%                             |
| 5  | LABAT-Anderson                       | \$13.4                                | 2.9%                             |
| 6  | Wilson Information Services Corp.    | \$11.0                                | 2.4%                             |
| 7  | GRB Environmental Services           | \$10.3                                | 2.2%                             |
| 8  | K4 Solutions                         | \$9.0                                 | 1.9%                             |
| 9  | Information International Associates | \$8.9                                 | 1.9%                             |
| 10 | 2020 Company LLC                     | \$8.9                                 | 1.9%                             |
|    | <b>Total</b>                         | <b>\$236.0</b>                        | <b>50.6%</b>                     |

**APPENDIX 4. Regression Diagnostics and Statistics**

The forecast figures in this report are the result of a cubic regression analysis. This appendix contains the regression data and output diagnostics, as well as the regression analysis statistics.

**Table 18. Data Used in the Regression Analysis**


| Fiscal Year | $X_i$ | Federal Spending on Information Products and Services, $Y_i$ |
|-------------|-------|--|
| 1979        | 0     | \$76.2   |
| 1980        | 1     | \$60.1   |
| 1981        | 2     | \$41.4   |
| 1982        | 3     | \$47.8   |
| 1983        | 4     | \$49.5   |
| 1984        | 5     | \$73.4   |
| 1985        | 6     | \$76.9   |
| 1986        | 7     | \$58.0   |
| 1987        | 8     | \$53.1   |
| 1988        | 9     | \$76.1   |
| 1989        | 10    | \$71.7   |
| 1990        | 11    | \$73.1   |
| 1991        | 12    | \$105.6  |
| 1992        | 13    | \$142.1  |
| 1993        | 14    | \$153.7  |
| 1994        | 15    | \$213.9  |
| 1995        | 16    | \$163.9  |
| 1996        | 17    | \$174.4  |
| 1997        | 18    | \$209.8  |
| 1998        | 19    | \$223.1  |
| 1999        | 20    | \$258.5  |
| 2000        | 21    | \$276.4  |
| 2001        | 22    | \$377.3  |
| 2002        | 23    | \$401.4  |
| 2003        | 24    | \$596.8  |
| 2004        | 25    | \$503.4  |
| 2005        | 26    | \$518.7  |
| 2006        | 27    | \$631.8  |
| 2007        | 28    | \$447.6  |
| 2008        | 29    | \$582.5  |
| 2009        | 30    | \$506.9  |

**Table 18. Data Used in the Regression Analysis**

| Fiscal Year | $X_i$ | Federal Spending on Information Products and Services, $Y_i$ |
|-------------|-------|--|
| 2010        | 31    | \$529.9  |
| 2011        | 32    | \$583.3  |
| 2012        | 33    | \$592.3  |

All spending figures are in US\$ millions.

**Figure 21. Scatterplot of Annual Spending Figures, FY 1979–FY 2012**



**Table 19. Regression Analysis Statistics**

**ANOVA**

|            | d.f. | SS          | MS        | F     | Sig. F |
|------------|------|-------------|-----------|-------|--------|
| Regression | 3    | 1,351,191.7 | 450,397.2 | 155.6 | 0.000  |
| Residual   | 30   | 86,811.0    | 2,893.7   |       |        |
| Total      | 33   | 1,438,002.6 |           |       |        |

**Regression Statistics**


|   |        |
|---|--------|
| Multiple R  | 0.970  |
| $R^2$   | 0.940  |
| Adjusted $R^2$                                      | 0.933  |
| Model Standard Error of Equation ( $SE_{\hat{y}}$ ) | 53.793 |
| n   | 33     |

**Cubic Regression Output**

|           | Coefficient | Standard Error | t Statistic* | P-value | Lower 95% Confidence Interval | Upper 95% Confidence Interval |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|--------------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $\beta_0$ | 103.65      | 33.179         | 3.12         | 0.001   | 35.89                         | 171.41                        |
| $\beta_1$ | -26.97      | 8.839          | -3.05        | 0.000   | -45.02                        | -8.92                         |
| $\beta_2$ | 2.83        | 0.628          | 4.51         | 0.005   | 1.55                          | 4.11                          |
| $\beta_3$ | -0.05       | 0.012          | -3.79        | 0.004   | -0.07                         | -0.02                         |


\* $t_{0.05, 30 \text{ d.f.}}=2.04$

**Figure 22. Scatterplot of Cubic Regression Residuals versus X-Values**




Also, Durbin-Watson d-test:  $d=1.533$ ,  $dL_{1\%}=1.070$ , and  $dU_{1\%}=1.436$ .

**Figure 23. QQ-Plot of Cubic Regression Residuals**



**Figure 24. Histogram of Cubic Regression Residuals**



| Bin  | Frequency |
|------|-----------|
| -80  | 1         |
| -31  | 8         |
| 18   | 15        |
| 67   | 8         |
| 116  | 0         |
| >116 | 2         |

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Hardy, Michael. “Fixing the Next Generation Procurement Data System.” *Federal Computer Week* 19, no. 40 (November 21, 2005): 65–66. <http://www.proquest.com/en-US/> (DOI 218835006; accessed April 15, 2012 via ProQuest).
- Hayward, Cathy. “Reforming the Old Bill.” *Supply Management*, January 4, 2011, 20–23. <http://www.proquest.com/en-US/> (DOI 222195677; accessed April 15, 2012 via ProQuest).
- Ivey, William Noël. “Federal Government Strategic Sourcing of Information Products and Services.” Report, Federal Research Division, Library of Congress, Washington, DC, December 2011. [http://www.loc.gov/flicc/publications/FRD/Strategic-Sourcing-Version-2\\_2011-Dec-5-Corrected.pdf](http://www.loc.gov/flicc/publications/FRD/Strategic-Sourcing-Version-2_2011-Dec-5-Corrected.pdf) (accessed April 15, 2012).
- Niezen, Carlos, Wulf Weller, and Heidi Deringer. “Strategic Supply Management.” *MIT Sloan Management Review* 48, no. 2 (Winter 2007): 7. [http://www.proquest.com/en-US/\(DOI2224964805; accessed via April 15, 2012 ProQuest\).](http://www.proquest.com/en-US/(DOI2224964805; accessed via April 15, 2012 ProQuest).)
- U.S. Census Bureau. *2007 NAICS Definitions*. Washington, DC: 2007. <http://www.census.gov/cgi-bin/sssd/naics/naicsrch?chart=2007> (accessed July 19, 2011).
- U.S. General Services Administration. Office of Governmentwide Policy. *Federal Procurement Data System, Product and Service Codes Manual*. Manual, Washington, DC, August 2011. <https://www.acquisition.gov/PSC%20Manual%20-%20Final%20-%202011%20August%202011.pdf> (accessed September 12, 2011).
- U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO). “Improvements Needed to the Federal Procurement Data System—Next Generation.” Report no. GAO-05-960R, Washington, DC, September 27, 2005. <http://www.gao.gov/assets/100/93613.pdf> (accessed August 6, 2012).
- U.S. Office of Management and Budget. “Implementing Strategic Sourcing.” Memorandum, Washington, DC, May 20, 2005. <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/ac/comp/proc/OMBmemo.pdf> (accessed July 15, 2011).