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The fall did not exist, although it is reported that some dances are not described in published accounts. We recorded: 1) a staged reenactment of an ancient crop fertility rite, 2) a fair with an assortment of goods for sale, and 3) a dance party for the young. All three elements were part of the Ivan Kupalo celebrated in pre-Soviet Ukraine. The crop fertility ritual was extensively recorded in the many folklore collections of the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. The dance party can be interpreted as the modern version of a courtship opportunity for the young. Ritualized courtship opportunities are documented in both descriptions of Ivan Kupalo and in accounts of wedding practices. Fairs are less well documented, most likely because they were not considered ritual, though their presence can be surmised from available sources. All three components have returned and have done so with vigor. The process of revival began in the 1960s and 70s when the reintroduction of indigenous ritual was first allowed. During that period, the crop fertility rite was enacted, but almost obscured by Soviet elements, such as parades featuring the outstanding workers of the village and the fruits of their labor. Courtship opportunities such as dances were likely allowed, as long as the young were modest in clothing and behavior; though dances are not described in published accounts. The fair did not exist, although it is reported that some villages staged Gogol's Sorochinskaia iarmarka (The Fair at Sorochinets) as part of their festivities. The 1980s marked another turning point and rituals revived in that period are closer to their pre-Soviet prototypes. The contemporary situation is strikingly un-Soviet. Merchants at the fairs do a brisk business, and we observed marketing strategies that would make any capitalist proud. Hundreds of young people participate enthusiastically in the dances and they dress as they please; no one criticizes their revealing garments. The appeal of the fairs and the dances is easy to understand. But what about the reenactment or the agrarian rite? What purpose does it serve? We cannot know whether, in the past, the ritual was believed to affect crop fertility, but it is certain that such a belief does not exist today. In fact, agrarian rituals offer a striking contrast to life cycle rituals, where there is deep faith in ritual efficacy. In the case of weddings, for example, people sincerely believe that failure to perform the rite properly will have disastrous consequences. These beliefs are openly expressed now that Soviet prohibitions against them are no longer in force. In the case of Ivan Kupalo, however, the failure of a ritual bonfire to ignite was not read as a portent of upcoming agricultural disaster. If the crop fertility rite has no crop fertility purpose, why perform it? As Bogatyrev rightly points out, people will not perform meaningless acts. It is my contention that the agrarian ritual is enacted, not for crop fertility, but to assert an indigenous cultural identity. Ancient crop rituals make agriculture primary and thus express rejection of the factory model that the Soviets sought to apply to everything, farming included. In addition, it is likely that the appearance of the western-seeming fairs and dance parties was facilitated by the crop ritual, since in that it celebrates wealth and fertility, both among people and crops. Ivan Kupalo, therefore, offers modern villagers a link to their pre-Soviet Ukrainian past and a way to try out possibilities for a post-Soviet future.
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