in the town of Georgetown; the Bank of the Metropolis, the Patriotic
Bank of Washington, and the Bank of Washington, in the city of
Washington, be, and the same are hereby renewed, continued in full
force, and limited to the first Saturday, and first day of October, in
the year of our Lord eighteen hundred and thirty-six.
Approved, February 9, 1836.

CHAP. VII.—An Act making appropriations, in part, for the support of Government,
for the year one thousand eight hundred and thirty-six.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United
States of America in Congress assembled, That the following sums be,
and the same are hereby, appropriated, to be paid out of any unappropriated
money in the Treasury, viz:
For pay and mileage of the members of Congress and Delegates, five
hundred and fifty-six thousand four hundred and eighty dollars.
For pay of the officers and clerks of the Senate and House of Representa-
tives, thirty-three thousand seven hundred dollars.
For stationery, fuel, printing, and all other incidental and contingent
expenses of the Senate, fifty-three thousand seven hundred dollars.
For stationery, fuel, printing, and all other incidental and contingent
expenses of the House of Representatives, two hundred thousand dollars.
The said two sums last mentioned, to be applied to the payment of the
ordinary expenditures of the Senate and House of Representatives, se-
everally, and to no other purpose.
Approved, February 11, 1836.

CHAP. XXXVIII.—An Act to incorporate a fire insurance company, in the town of
Alexandria, in the District of Columbia.(a)

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United
States of America in Congress assembled, That the persons who were

(a) Decisions of the Courts of the United States on Insurance against Fire:
Among the conditions which were printed on the same sheet with a policy of insurance against fire, was one requiring "that all persons insured, and sustaining loss or damage by fire, should forthwith give notice thereof to the company, and as soon after as possible deliver in a particular account of such loss or damage, signed with their own hands, and verified with their oath or affirmation, and also, if required, by their books of account and other proper vouchers." Held, that the particular account required by the above condition is a particular account of the articles lost or damaged, and does not refer to the manner and cause of the loss. Cal. v. The Springfield Ins. Co., 1 Summer's C. C. R. 434.

In stating a loss, it is sufficient to show it to have been occasioned by a peril within the policy, without the negative of exceptions from design, invasion, public enemies, riots, &c.; which are properly matters of defence. Ibid.

The words in a policy against fire, described the house as "at present occupied as a dwelling-house, but to be occupied hereafter as a tavern, and privileged as such." Held, that this is not a warranty that the house should, during the continuance of the risk, be constantly occupied as a tavern; but that it is, at the underwriters' that it might be so occupied. Ibid.

Where underwriters agree to make good any loss or damage "by fire originating in any cause, except design in the insured, invasion," &c., held, that the exception of losses by design admits all losses not by design; that, therefore, where the plaintiff negligently left the premises insured derelict, and intruders and cause of the loss. Ibid. There is no representation of the intention to occupy it as such, and a license or privilege granted by
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