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XIII

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF NEUTRAL POWERS
IN NAVAL WAR

His Majesty the German Emperor, King of Prussia; the President of the Argentine Republic; His Majesty the Emperor of Austria, King of Bohemia, etc., and Apostolic King of Hungary; His Majesty the King of the Belgians; the President of the Republic of Bolivia; the President of the Republic of the United States of Brazil; His Royal Highness the Prince of Bulgaria; the President of the Republic of Chile; the President of the Republic of Colombia; His Majesty the King of Denmark; the President of the Dominican Republic;

---

1 The Senate gave its advice and consent to adherence with the reservation and exclusion of art. 23 and with the understanding that the last clause of art. 3 of the convention "implies the duty of a neutral power to make the demand therein mentioned for the return of a ship captured within the neutral jurisdiction and no longer within that jurisdiction." The reservation and exclusion of art. 23 and the understanding with respect to art. 3 were maintained in the President's declaration of adherence.
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the President of the Republic of Ecuador; the President of the French Republic; His Majesty the King of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland and of the British Dominions Beyond the Seas, Emperor of India; His Majesty the King of the Hellenes; the President of the Republic of Haiti; His Majesty the King of Italy; His Majesty the Emperor of Japan; His Royal Highness the Grand Duke of Luxemburg, Duke of Nassau; the President of the United States of Mexico; His Royal Highness the Prince of Montenegro; His Majesty the King of Norway; the President of the Republic of Panama; the President of the Republic of Paraguay; Her Majesty the Queen of the Netherlands; the President of the Republic of Peru; His Imperial Majesty the Shah of Persia; His Majesty the King of Portugal and of the Algarves, etc.; His Majesty the King of Roumania; His Majesty the Emperor of All the Russias; the President of the Republic of Salvador; His Majesty the King of Servia; His Majesty the King of Siam; His Majesty the King of Sweden; The Swiss Federal Council; His Majesty the Emperor of the Ottomans; the President of the Oriental Republic of Uruguay; the President of the United States of Venezuela:

With a view to harmonizing the divergent views which, in the event of naval war, are still held on the relations between neutral Powers and belligerent Powers, and to anticipating the difficulties to which such divergence of views might give rise;

Seeing that, even if it is not possible at present to concert measures applicable to all circumstances which may in practice occur, it is nevertheless undeniably advantageous to frame, as far as possible, rules of general application to meet the case where war has unfortunately broken out;

Seeing that, in cases not covered by the present Convention, it is expedient to take into consideration the general principles of the law of nations;

Seeing that it is desirable that the Powers should issue detailed enactments to regulate the results of the attitude of neutrality when adopted by them;

Seeing that it is, for neutral Powers, an admitted duty to apply these rules impartially to the several belligerents;

Seeing that, in this category of ideas, these rules should not, in principle, be altered, in the course of the war, by a neutral Power, except in a case where experience has shown the necessity for such change for the protection of the rights of that Power;

Have agreed to observe the following common rules, which cannot however modify provisions laid down in existing general Treaties, and have appointed as their Plenipotentiaries, namely:

His Majesty the Emperor of Germany, King of Prussia:

His Excellency Baron Marschall von Bieberstein, His Minister of State, His Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary at Constantinople;
Dr. Johannes Kriege, His Envoy on extraordinary mission to the present Conference, His Privy Counselor of Legation and Jurisconsult to the Imperial Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration.

The President of the Argentine Republic:
- His Excellency Mr. Roque Saenz Peña, former Minister of Foreign Affairs, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the Republic at Rome, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
- His Excellency Mr. Luis M. Drago, former Minister of Foreign Affairs and Worship of the Republic, National Deputy, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
- His Excellency Mr. Carlos Rodriguez Larreta, former Minister of Foreign Affairs and Worship of the Republic, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration.

His Majesty the Emperor of Austria, King of Bohemia, etc., and Apostolic King of Hungary:
- His Excellency Mr. Gaétan Méréy de Kapos-Méré, His Privy Counselor, His Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary;
- His Excellency Baron Charles de Macchio, His Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at Athens.

His Majesty the King of the Belgians:
- His Excellency Mr. Beernaert, His Minister of State, Member of the Chamber of Representatives, Member of the Institute of France and of the Royal Academies of Belgium and Roumania, Honor Member of the Institute of International Law, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
- His Excellency Mr. J. van den Heuvel, His Minister of State, former Minister of Justice;
- His Excellency Baron Guillaume, His Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at The Hague, Member of the Royal Academy of Roumania.

The President of the Republic of Bolivia:
- His Excellency Mr. Claudio Pinilla, Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Republic, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
- His Excellency Mr. Fernando E. Guachalla, Minister Plenipotentiary at London.

The President of the Republic of the United States of Brazil:
- His Excellency Mr. Ruy Barbosa, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
- His Excellency Mr. Eduardo F. S. dos Santos Lisboa, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at The Hague.

His Royal Highness the Prince of Bulgaria:
- Mr. Vrban Vinaroff, Major General of the General Staff, attached to His suite;
Mr. Ivan Karandjouloff, Director of Public Prosecution of the Court of Cassation.

The President of the Republic of Chile:
   His Excellency Mr. Domingo Gana, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the Republic at London;
   His Excellency Mr. Augusto Matte, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the Republic at Berlin;
   His Excellency Mr. Carlos Concha, former Minister of War, former President of the Chamber of Deputies, former Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at Buenos Aires.

The President of the Republic of Colombia:
   General Jorge Holguin;
   Mr. Santiago Pérez Triana;
   His Excellency General Marceliano Vargas, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the Republic at Paris.

His Majesty the King of Denmark:
   His Excellency Mr. Constantin Brun, His Chamberlain, His Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at Washington;
   Rear Admiral Christian Frederik Scheller;
   Mr. Axel Vedel, His Chamberlain, Chief of Division in the Royal Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

The President of the Dominican Republic:
   Mr. Francisco Henriquez y Carvajal, former Secretary of State in the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
   Mr. Apolinar Tejera, Rector of the Professional Institute of the Republic, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration.

The President of the Republic of Ecuador:
   His Excellency Mr. Victor Rendon, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the Republic at Paris and at Madrid;
   Mr. Enrique Dorn y de Alsúa, Chargé d'Affaires.

The President of the French Republic:
   His Excellency Mr. Léon Bourgeois, Ambassador Extraordinary of the Republic, Senator, former President of the Council of Ministers, former Minister of Foreign Affairs, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
   Baron d’Estournelles de Constant, Senator, Minister Plenipotentiary of class I, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
   Mr. Louis Renault, Professor of the Faculty of Law of the University of Paris, Honorary Minister Plenipotentiary, Jurisconsult of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Member of the Institute of France, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
His Excellency Mr. Marcellin Pellet, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the French Republic at The Hague.

His Majesty the King of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland and of the British Dominions Beyond the Seas, Emperor of India:
    His Excellency the Right Honorable Sir Edward Fry, G.C.B., Member of the Privy Council, His Ambassador Extraordinary, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
    His Excellency the Right Honorable Sir Ernest Mason Satow, G.C.M.G., Member of the Privy Council, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
    His Excellency the Right Honorable Donald James Mackay Baron Reay, G.C.S.I., G.C.I.E., Member of the Privy Council, former President of the Institute of International Law;
    His Excellency Sir Henry Howard, K.C.M.G., C.B., His Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at The Hague.

His Majesty the King of the Hellenes:
    His Excellency Mr. Cléon Rizo Rangabé, His Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at Berlin;
    Mr. Georges Streit, Professor of International Law in the University of Athens, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration.

The President of the Republic of Guatemala:
    Mr. José Tible Machado, Chargé d’Affaires of the Republic at The Hague and at London, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
    Mr. Enrique Gómez Carillo, Chargé d’Affaires of the Republic at Berlin.

The President of the Republic of Haiti:
    His Excellency Mr. Jean Joseph Dalbémar, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the Republic at Paris;
    His Excellency Mr. J. N. Léger, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the Republic at Washington;
    Mr. Pierre Hudicourt, former Professor of Public International Law, Attorney at Law at Port au Prince.

His Majesty the King of Italy:
    His Excellency Count Joseph Tornielli Brusati di Vergano, Senator of the Kingdom, Ambassador of His Majesty the King at Paris, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration, President of the Italian Delegation;
    His Excellency Commendatore Guido Pompilj, Deputy to the Parliament, Under Secretary of State in the Royal Ministry of Foreign Affairs;
    Commendatore Guido Fusinato, Counselor of State, Deputy to the Parliament, former Minister of Education.
His Majesty the Emperor of Japan:
  His Excellency Mr. Keiroku Tsudzuki, His Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary;
  His Excellency Mr. Aimaro Sato, His Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at The Hague.

His Royal Highness the Grand Duke of Luxemburg, Duke of Nassau:
  His Excellency Mr. Eyschen, His Minister of State, President of the Grand Ducal Government;
  Count de Villers, Chargé d’Affaires of the Grand Duchy at Berlin.

The President of the United Mexican States:
  His Excellency Mr. Gonzalo A. Esteva, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the Republic at Rome;
  His Excellency Mr. Sebastian B. de Mier, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the Republic at Paris;
  His Excellency Mr. Francisco L. de la Barra, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the Republic at Brussels and at The Hague.

His Royal Highness the Prince of Montenegro:
  His Excellency Mr. Nelidow, now Imperial Privy Counselor, Ambassador of His Majesty the Emperor of All the Russias at Paris;
  His Excellency Mr. de Martens, Imperial Privy Counselor, Permanent Member of the Council of the Imperial Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Russia;
  His Excellency Mr. Tcharykow, now Imperial Counselor of State, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of His Majesty the Emperor of All the Russias at The Hague.

His Majesty the King of Norway:
  His Excellency Mr. Francis Hagerup, former President of the Council, former Professor of Law, His Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at The Hague and at Copenhagen, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration.

The President of the Republic of Panama:
  Mr. Belisario Porras.

The President of the Republic of Paraguay:
  His Excellency Mr. Eusebio Machain, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the Republic at Paris;
  Count G. du Monceau de Bergendal, Consul of the Republic at Brussels.

Her Majesty the Queen of the Netherlands:
  Mr. W. H. de Beaufort, Her former Minister of Foreign Affairs, Member of the Second Chamber of the States-General;
His Excellency Mr. T. M. C. Asser, Her Minister of State, Member of
the Council of State, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
His Excellency Jonkheer J. C. C. den Beer Poortugaël, Lieutenant General
Retired, former Minister of War, Member of the Council of State;
His Excellency Jonkheer J. A. Röell, Her Aide-de-Camp on Special
Service, Vice Admiral Retired, former Minister of the Navy;
Mr. J. A. Loeff, Her former Minister of Justice, Member of the Second
Chamber of the States-General.

The President of the Republic of Peru:
His Excellency Mr. Carlos G. Candamo, Envoy Extraordinary and Min­
ister Plenipotentiary of the Republic at Paris and at London, Member of
the Permanent Court of Arbitration.

His Imperial Majesty the Shah of Persia:
His Excellency Samad Khan Momtazos Saltaneh, His Envoy Extraordi­
nary and Minister Plenipotentiary at Paris, Member of the Permanent Court
of Arbitration;
His Excellency Mirza Ahmed Khan Sadigh Ul Mulk, His Envoy Extraor­
dinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at The Hague.

His Majesty the King of Portugal and of the Algarves, etc.
His Excellency the Marquis de Soveral, His Counselor of State, Peer of
the Kingdom, former Minister of Foreign Affairs, His Envoy Extraordinary
and Minister Plenipotentiary at London, His Ambassador Extraordinary
and Plenipotentiary;
His Excellency Count de Selir, His Envoy Extraordinary and Minister
Plenipotentiary at The Hague;
His Excellency Mr. Alberto d'Oliveira, His Envoy Extraordinary and
Minister Plenipotentiary at Berne.

His Majesty the King of Roumania:
His Excellency Mr. Alexandre Beldiman, His Envoy Extraordinary and
Minister Plenipotentiary at Berlin;
His Excellency Mr. Edgar Mavrocordato, His Envoy Extraordinary and
Minister Plenipotentiary at The Hague.

His Majesty the Emperor of All the Russias:
His Excellency Mr. Nelidow, His Present Privy Counselor, His Ambassa­
dor at Paris;
His Excellency Mr. de Martens, His Privy Counselor, Permanent Member
of the Council of the Imperial Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Member of the
Permanent Court of Arbitration;
His Excellency Mr. Tcharykow, His present Counselor of State, His
Chamberlain, His Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at
The Hague.
The President of the Republic of Salvador:
   Mr. Pedro I. Matheu, Chargé d'Affaires of the Republic at Paris, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
   Mr. Santiago Perez Triana, Chargé d'Affaires of the Republic at London.

His Majesty the King of Servia:
   His Excellency General Sava Grouitch, President of the Council of State;
   His Excellency Mr. Milovan Milovanovitch, His Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at Rome, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
   His Excellency Mr. Michel Militchevitch, His Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at London and at The Hague.

His Majesty the King of Siam:
   Mom Chatidej Udom, Major General;
   Mr. C. Corragioni d'Orelli, His Counselor of Legation;
   Luang Bhuwanarth Naribal, Captain.

His Majesty the King of Sweden, of the Goths and Vandals:
   His Excellency Mr. Knut Hjalmar Leonard Hammarskjold, His former Minister of Justice, His Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at Copenhagen, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
   Mr. Johannes Hellner, His former Minister without portfolio, former Member of the Supreme Court of Sweden, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration.

The Swiss Federal Council:
   His Excellency Mr. Gaston Carlin, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the Swiss Confederation at London and at The Hague;
   Mr. Eugène Borel, Colonel of the General Staff, Professor in the University of Geneva;
   Mr. Max Huber, Professor of Law in the University of Zürich.

His Majesty the Emperor of the Ottomans:
   His Excellency Turkhan Pasha, His Ambassador Extraordinary, Minister of the Evkaf;
   His Excellency Rechid Bey, His Ambassador at Rome;
   His Excellency Mehemmed Pasha, Vice Admiral.

The President of the Oriental Republic of Uruguay:
   His Excellency Mr. José Batlle y Ordoñez, former President of the Republic, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;
   His Excellency Mr. Juan P. Castro, former President of the Senate, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the Republic at Paris, Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration.
The President of the United States of Venezuela:
Mr. José Gil Fortoul, Chargé d'Affaires of the Republic at Berlin.

Who, after having deposited their full powers, found in good and due form, have agreed upon the following provisions:

ARTICLE 1

Belligerents are bound to respect the sovereign rights of neutral Powers and to abstain, in neutral territory or neutral waters, from any act which would, if knowingly permitted by any Power, constitute a violation of neutrality.

ARTICLE 2

Any act of hostility, including capture and the exercise of the right of search, committed by belligerent war-ships in the territorial waters of a neutral Power, constitutes a violation of neutrality and is strictly forbidden.

ARTICLE 3

When a ship has been captured in the territorial waters of a neutral Power, this Power must employ, if the prize is still within its jurisdiction, the means at its disposal to release the prize with its officers and crew, and to intern the prizecrew.

If the prize is not in the jurisdiction of the neutral Power, the captor Government, on the demand of that Power, must liberate the prize with its officers and crew.

ARTICLE 4

A Prize Court cannot be set up by a belligerent on neutral territory or on a vessel in neutral waters.

ARTICLE 5

Belligerents are forbidden to use neutral ports and waters as a base of naval operations against their adversaries, and in particular to erect wireless telegraphy stations or any apparatus for the purpose of communicating with the belligerent forces on land or sea.

ARTICLE 6

The supply, in any manner, directly or indirectly, by a neutral Power to a belligerent Power, of war-ships, ammunition, or war material of any kind whatever, is forbidden.

* For text of an understanding contained in the Senate's resolution of advice and consent to adherence and maintained in the President's declaration of adherence, see footnote 1, p. 723.
A neutral Power is not bound to prevent the export or transit, for the use of either belligerent, of arms, ammunition, or, in general, of anything which could be of use to an army or fleet.

A neutral Government is bound to employ the means at its disposal to prevent the fitting out or arming of any vessel within its jurisdiction which it has reason to believe is intended to cruise, or engage in hostile operations, against a Power with which that Government is at peace. It is also bound to display the same vigilance to prevent the departure from its jurisdiction of any vessel intended to cruise, or engage in hostile operations, which had been adapted entirely or partly within the said jurisdiction for use in war.

A neutral Power must apply impartially to the two belligerents the conditions, restrictions, or prohibitions made by it in regard to the admission into its ports, roadsteads, or territorial waters, of belligerent war-ships or of their prizes.

Nevertheless, a neutral Power may forbid a belligerent vessel which has failed to conform to the orders and regulations made by it, or which has violated neutrality, to enter its ports or roadsteads.

The neutrality of a Power is not affected by the mere passage through its territorial waters of war-ships or prizes belonging to belligerents.

A neutral Power may allow belligerent war-ships to employ its licensed pilots.

In the absence of special provisions to the contrary in the legislation of a neutral Power, belligerent war-ships are not permitted to remain in the ports, roadsteads, or territorial waters of the said Power for more than twenty-four hours, except in the cases covered by the present Convention.

If a Power which has been informed of the outbreak of hostilities learns that a belligerent war-ship is in one of its ports or roadsteads, or in its territorial waters, it must notify the said ship to depart within twenty-four hours or within the time prescribed by local regulations.
ARTICLE 14

A belligerent war-ship may not prolong its stay in a neutral port beyond the permissible time except on account of damage or stress of weather. It must depart as soon as the cause of the delay is at an end.

The regulations as to the question of the length of time which these vessels may remain in neutral ports, roadsteads, or waters, do not apply to war-ships devoted exclusively to religious, scientific, or philanthropic purposes.

ARTICLE 15

In the absence of special provisions to the contrary in the legislation of a neutral Power, the maximum number of war-ships belonging to a belligerent which may be in one of the ports or roadsteads of that Power simultaneously shall be three.

ARTICLE 16

When war-ships belonging to both belligerents are present simultaneously in a neutral port or roadstead, a period of not less than twenty-four hours must elapse between the departure of the ship belonging to one belligerent and the departure of the ship belonging to the other.

The order of departure is determined by the order of arrival, unless the ship which arrived first is so circumscribed that an extension of its stay is permissible.

A belligerent war-ship may not leave a neutral port or roadstead until twenty-four hours after the departure of a merchant-ship flying the flag of its adversary.

ARTICLE 17

In neutral ports and roadsteads belligerent war-ships may only carry out such repairs as are absolutely necessary to render them seaworthy, and may not add in any manner whatsoever to their fighting force. The local authorities of the neutral Power shall decide what repairs are necessary, and these must be carried out with the least possible delay.

ARTICLE 18

Belligerent war-ships may not make use of neutral ports, roadsteads, or territorial waters for replenishing or increasing their supplies of war material or their armament, or for completing their crews.

ARTICLE 19

Belligerent war-ships may only revictual in neutral ports or roadsteads to bring up their supplies to the peace standard.

Similarly these vessels may only ship sufficient fuel to enable them to reach the nearest port in their own country. They may, on the other hand, fill up
their bunkers built to carry fuel, when in neutral countries which have adopted this method of determining the amount of fuel to be supplied.

If, in accordance with the law of the neutral Power, the ships are not supplied with coal within twenty-four hours of their arrival, the permissible duration of their stay is extended by twenty-four hours.

**ARTICLE 20**

Belligerent war-ships which have shipped fuel in a port belonging to a neutral Power may not within the succeeding three months replenish their supply in a port of the same Power.

**ARTICLE 21**

A prize may only be brought into a neutral port on account of unseaworthiness, stress of weather, or want of fuel or provisions.

It must leave as soon as the circumstances which justified its entry are at an end. If it does not, the neutral Power must order it to leave at once; should it fail to obey, the neutral Power must employ the means at its disposal to release it with its officers and crew and to intern the prize crew.

**ARTICLE 22**

A neutral Power must, similarly, release a prize brought into one of its ports under circumstances other than those referred to in Article 21.

**ARTICLE 23**

A neutral Power may allow prizes to enter its ports and roadsteads, whether under convoy or not, when they are brought there to be sequestrated pending the decision of a Prize Court. It may have the prize taken to another of its ports.

If the prize is convoyed by a war-ship, the prize crew may go on board the convoying ship.

If the prize is not under convoy, the prize crew are left at liberty.

**ARTICLE 24**

If, notwithstanding the notification of the neutral Power, a belligerent ship of war does not leave a port where it is not entitled to remain, the neutral Power is entitled to take such measures as it considers necessary to render the ship incapable of taking the sea during the war, and the commanding officer of the ship must facilitate the execution of such measures.

When a belligerent ship is detained by a neutral Power, the officers and crew are likewise detained.

---

*The Senate gave its advice and consent to adherence with the reservation and exclusion of art. 23; the reservation and exclusion were maintained in the President's declaration of adherence.*
The officers and crew thus detained may be left in the ship or kept either on another vessel or on land, and may be subjected to the measures of restriction which it may appear necessary to impose upon them. A sufficient number of men for looking after the vessel must, however, be always left on board.

The officers may be left at liberty on giving their word not to quit the neutral territory without permission.

**Article 25**

A neutral Power is bound to exercise such surveillance as the means at its disposal allow to prevent any violation of the provisions of the above Articles occurring in its ports or roadsteads or in its waters.

**Article 26**

The exercise by a neutral Power of the rights laid down in the present Convention can under no circumstances be considered as an unfriendly act by one or other belligerent who has accepted the Article relating thereto.

**Article 27**

The Contracting Powers shall communicate to each other in due course all Laws, Proclamations, and other enactments regulating in their respective countries the status of belligerent war-ships in their ports and waters, by means of a communication addressed to the Government of the Netherlands, and forwarded immediately by that Government to the other Contracting Powers.

**Article 28**

The provisions of the present Convention do not apply except to the Contracting Powers, and then only if all the belligerents are parties to the Convention.

**Article 29**

The present Convention shall be ratified as soon as possible.

The ratifications shall be deposited at The Hague.

The first deposit of ratifications shall be recorded in a procès-verbal signed by the Representatives of the Powers which take part therein and by the Netherland Minister for Foreign Affairs.

The subsequent deposits of ratifications shall be made by means of a written notification addressed to the Netherland Government and accompanied by the instrument of ratification.

A duly certified copy of the procès-verbal relative to the first deposit of ratifications, of the ratifications mentioned in the preceding paragraph, as well as of the instruments of ratification, shall be at once sent by the Netherland Government, through the diplomatic channel, to the Powers invited to
the Second Peace Conference, as well as to the other Powers which have ad­hered to the Convention. In the cases contemplated in the preceding para­graph, the said Government shall inform them at the same time of the date on which it received the notification.

**ARTICLE 30**

Non-Signatory Powers may adhere to the present Convention.  
The Power which desires to adhere notifies in writing its intention to the Netherland Government, forwarding to it the act of adhesion, which shall be deposited in the archives of the said Government.  
That Government shall at once transmit to all the other Powers a duly certified copy of the notification as well as of the act of adhesion, mentioning the date on which it received the notification.

**ARTICLE 31**

The present Convention shall come into force in the case of the Powers which were a party to the first deposit of the ratifications, sixty days after the date of the procès-verbal of that deposit, and, in the case of the Powers who ratify subsequently or who adhere, sixty days after the notification of their ratification or of their decision has been received by the Netherland Government.

**ARTICLE 32**

In the event of one of the Contracting Powers wishing to denounce the present Convention, the denunciation shall be notified in writing to the Neth­erland Government, who shall at once communicate a duly certified copy of the notification to all the other Powers, informing them of the date on which it was received.  
The denunciation shall only have effect in regard to the notifying Power, and one year after the notification has been made to the Netherland Government.

**ARTICLE 33**

A register kept by the Netherland Ministry for Foreign Affairs shall give the date of the deposit of ratifications made by Article 29, paragraphs 3 and 4, as well as the date on which the notifications of adhesion (Article 30, paragraph 2) or of denunciation (Article 32, paragraph 1) have been received.  
Each Contracting Power is entitled to have access to this register and to be supplied with duly certified extracts.  
In faith whereof the Plenipotentiaries have appended their signatures to the present Convention.  
Done at The Hague, the 18th October, 1907, in a single copy, which shall remain deposited in the archives of the Netherland Government, and duly
certified copies of which shall be sent, through the diplomatic channel, to the Powers which have been invited to the Second Peace Conference.

1. For Germany: Under reservation of articles 11, 12, 13 and 20.
   MARSCHALL
   KRIEGE
2. For the United States of America:
3. For Argentina:
   ROQUE SAENZ PEÑA
   LUIS M. DRAGO
   C. RÚEZ LARRETA
4. For Austria-Hungary:
   MÉRY
   BON MACCHIO
5. For Belgium:
   A. BEERNAERT
   VAN DEN HEUVEL
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   CLAUDIO PINILLA
7. For Brazil:
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    EDM. FRY
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    REAY
    HENRY HOWARD
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    CLÉON RIZO RANGABÉ
    GEORGES STREIT
20. For Guatemala:
    JOSÉ TIBLE MACHADO
21. For Haiti:
    DALBÉMAR JN JOSEPH
    J. N. LÉGER
    PIERRE HUDICOURT
22. For Italy:
    POMPILJ
    G. FUSINATO
23. For Japan: With reservation of Articles 19 and 23.
    AIMARO SATO
24. For Luxemburg:
    EYSCHEN
    CTE. DE VILLERS
25. For Mexico:
    G. A. ESTEVA
    S. B. DE MIER
    F. L. DE LA BARRA
26. For Montenegro:
    NELIDOW
    MARTENS
    N. TCHARYKOW
27. For Nicaragua:
28. For Norway:
    F. HAGERPUP
29. For Panama:
    B. FORRAS
30. For Paraguay:
    G. DU MONGEAU
31. For the Netherlands:
    W. H. DE BEAUFORT
    T. M. C. ASSER
    DEN BEER POORTUGAEL
    J. A. RÖELL
    J. A. LOEFF
32. For Peru:
    C. G. CANDAMO
33. For Persia: Under reservation of Articles 12, 19 and 21.
MONTAZOS-SALTANEH M. SAMAD KHAN
SADIGH UL MULK M. AHMED KHAN

34. For Portugal:
ALBERTO D’OLIVEIRA

35. For Roumania:
EDO. MAVROCORDATO

36. For Russia:
NELIDOW
MARTENS
N. TCHARYKOW

37. For Salvador:
P. J. MATHEU
S. PÉREZ TRIANA

38. For Servia:
S. GROUitch
M. G. MILOVANOvITCH
M. G. MILITCHEVITCH

MOM CHATIDEJ UDOM
C. CORRAGIONI D’ORELLI
LUANG BHUVANARTH NARUBAL

40. For Sweden:
JOH. HELINER

41. For Switzerland:
CARLIN

42. For Turkey: Under reservation of the declaration concerning Article 10 contained in the proces-verbal of the eighth plenary session of the Conference held on October 9, 1907.¹
TURKHAN

43. For Uruguay:
JOSÉ BATLLE Y ORDOÑEZ

44. For Venezuela:
J. GIL FORTOUL

¹ The Turkish declaration reads, in translation, as follows: “The Ottoman delegation declares that the straits of the Dardanelles and the Bosphorus can not in any case be referred to by Article 10. The Imperial Government could undertake no engagement whatever tending to limit its undoubted rights over these straits.”