In “The Poor Little Rich Girl” (1917), Pickford and screenwriter Frances Marion created a new note for Mary’s image. Instead of appearing as a childlike woman, she appeared as a child, pure and simple. And yet this is not a children’s movie. Like Broadway plays, silent movies often turned to children’s classics for their themes. Scores of films featured odd, spunky orphans like those in the pages of L.M. Montgomery and Frances Hodgson Burnett. Viewers also recognized the hypocrites who surround this child—the grim old aunt who refuses to smile, perhaps, or the snobbish society matron—knowing that they would eventually melt in the face of the heroine’s humor and virtue. And the charming child becomes a beauty, finds a good man’s love, and rests secure.

“In the Home of Everything except the love she cared for, dwelt Gwendolyn, the Poor Little Rich Girl.” Gwendolyn is a rare role for Pickford: she is wealthy. She is also a prisoner in her house, surrounded by sneering servants and parents who do little more than pass her in the hallway. Gwendolyn asks no more than the pleasures any child enjoys – going for walks (forbidden: she might be kidnapped), the company of other children, and occasional contact with her parents (“Mother is very busy today, dear.”) When two servants, hoping to make Gwendolyn sleep, accidentally give her too big a dose from a bottled labeled “Poison,” Gwen nearly dies. Her parents, stricken, now provide the love for which Gwendolyn yearns. They also follow her doctor’s prescription: a trip to the country, gingham dresses, going barefoot, and making mud pies. “Oh!” cries Gwen blissfully. “I love mud.”

Most of us retain hazy memories of childhood. A feeling or a mood may remain, but we cannot reexperience the world as children. But sometimes an artist remembers acutely and creates a portrait that is startlingly fresh. In film, Steven Spielberg has sometimes done it. François Truffaut succeeded always. In silent film, Richard Barthelmess, a grown man in 1921, seemed convincingly thirteen in “Tol’able David.” But few performers accomplish the physical transformation that, to Pickford, was second nature. She was physically suited—short, with a head a shade too large for her body. She added technique to this advantage, a process which she described to *Vanity Fair*: relax the brow and corners of the mouth, point toes inward, loosen legs. Indeed, Gwen is wonderfully observed—as in the difficult business of going downstairs hand in hand with an adult, hanging back, attempting to use the feet as brakes, then giving up and hanging like a dead weight. In happier moments, she ends her skips with a flat-footed jump, carries a stuffed bear around by the legs, and is seen dancing with manic concentration, a star in her own dream and utterly absorbed.

But this would have been mere puppetry if Mary had not been able to call up a child’s inner world, untouched by the filter of adulthood. “That phase of my life,” she recalled, “was unlived.” While she was...
playing the father to other children, her own childhood had been “walled up inside of me…. I needed to express it.” To do so, she used a technique of turning on a dime emotionally – flashing for instance, from tears to anger, anger to boredom, tedium to joy. The practice, when she had used it at Biograph, made her ingénues funny, capricious and adolescent. And it proved exactly right for children, who can swing from rage, pain, or skinned elbows to joy -- and back again -- in an instant. In a short schoolroom scene in “The Poor Little Rich Girl,” Gwen looks intimidated by the teacher, affects concentration, refuses to do lessons, earnestly follows the dancing master, then collapses inconsolably into tears. The cumulative effect is uncanny. The child seems vulnerable, open to experience, unable to dissemble, yet resilient. She also seems even shorter than Pickford’s height of five feet, as art director Ben Carré used furniture two-thirds larger than scale. Maurice Tourneur’s stylized direction polished off Gwen’s gloomy world. The servants, for instance, enter in a surreal march, as though they were flying monkeys guarding the castle of the wicked witch.

This might have been too much of a good thing had not Marion and Pickford insisted on humor. They took credit for some simple gags: a child sitting in a pie, for instance. But they threw in the slapstick unannounced, and Tourneur was bewildered. "Mlle. Pickford," he protested, “show me where in the script it says you are to do that.” Indeed, the book by Eleanor Gates and the Broadway production in 1913 were unrelievedly melancholy. “It is not in the play,” explained Tourneur, “and I do not find it in the script. Mais non; c’est une horreur!” Mary thought Tourneur had no sense of humor. “I am a dignified man,” he agreed, “and my pictures should be dignified.” He would complain at length, years later, about curly-haired, interfering actresses, and Pickford chose other directors for her projects. But they finished “The Poor Little Rich Girl” with a smile, though perhaps a strained one.

The picture was shown first to studio executives. Pickford and Marion joined them, anticipating triumph. On the contrary, Pickford’s “masterpiece of comedy,” filled with Marion’s “spontaneous combustions,” played to the silence of a tomb. When the lights came up, the word most frequently voiced was “putrid.” The reception struck Pickford’s Achilles heel of guilt, and she drove home and cried herself to sleep. Frances Marion drove home too, crawled under the bed, and sobbed that she had ruined Mary Pickford’s career and hoped she would die soon. All very well, remembered Pickford. “But I had to live and face the music.”

Fortune finally smiled when, in March 1917, at New York’s Strand, Pickford and Frances Marion attended the premiere. According to Marion, Pickford entered with dark glasses and her hat pulled low on her face. Then she listened, stunned, as the audience laughed, wept audibly, and cheered. Mary wept, too, and removed her glasses – probably a conscious decision, as an usher soon recognized the famous face. Instantly she was mobbed by fans who begged for snippets of her hair, ripped fur from her coat, and tore her hat to shreds. With the help of a column of mounted policemen, Mary rose from a sea of bodies and escaped with her screenwriter in a taxi. Pickford told a quietly different story of waking up one morning in California to twenty-five telegrams praising the movie… and her spirits rose effortlessly, like a feather.
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